## Checklist for AAPOR TI

### Survey: California Poll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TI Disclosure Elements</th>
<th>Answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Who sponsored the TI Research and who conducted it. If different from the sponsor, the original sources of funding will also be disclosed.</td>
<td>Emerson College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The exact wording and presentation of questions and response options whose results are reported. This includes preceding interviewer or respondent instructions and any preceding questions that might reasonably be expected to influence responses to the reported results.</td>
<td>See Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. A definition of the population under study and its geographic location.</td>
<td>Very Likely Voters in California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. A description of the sampling frame(s) and its coverage of the target population, including mention of any segment of the target population that is not covered by the design. This may include, for example, exclusion of Alaska and Hawaii in U.S. surveys; exclusion of specific provinces or rural areas in international surveys; and exclusion of non-panel members in panel surveys. If possible the estimated size of non-covered segments will be provided. If a size estimate cannot be provided, this will be explained. If no frame or list was utilized, this will be indicated.</td>
<td>Data was collected using a list of 15,000 mobile numbers and 30,000 landlines provided by Aristotle Inc., with an online panel provided by Amazon MTurk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The name of the sample supplier, if the sampling frame and/or the sample itself was provided by a third party.</td>
<td>Aristotle, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The methods used to recruit the panel or participants, if the sample was drawn from a pre-recruited panel or pool of respondents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. A description of the sample design, giving a clear indication of the method by which the respondents were selected, recruited, intercepted or otherwise contacted or encountered, along with any eligibility requirements and/or oversampling. If quotas were used, the variables defining the quotas will be reported. If a within-household selection procedure was used, this will be described. The description of the sampling frame and sample design will include sufficient detail to determine whether the respondents were selected using probability or non-probability methods.</td>
<td>See #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Method(s) and mode(s) used to administer the survey (e.g., CATI, CAPI, ACASI, IVR, mail survey, web survey) and the language(s) offered.</td>
<td>IVR, Online, and SMS-to-web</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Sample sizes (by sampling frame if more than one was used) and a discussion of the precision of the findings. For probability samples, the estimates of sampling error will be reported, and the discussion will state whether or not the reported margins of sampling error or statistical analyses have been adjusted for the design effect due to weighting, clustering, or other factors. Disclosure requirements for non-probability samples are different because the precision of estimates from such samples is a model-based measure (rather than the average deviation from the population value over all possible samples). Reports of non-probability samples will only provide measures of precision if they are accompanied by a detailed description of how the underlying model was specified, its assumptions validated and the measure(s) calculated. To avoid confusion, it is best to avoid using the term “margin of error” or “margin of sampling error” in conjunction with non-probability samples.

The sample consisted of registered voters, n=1000, with a Credibility Interval (CI) similar to a poll’s margin of error (MOE) of +/- 3 percentage points. A screening question was asked if the voter was registered. If the respondent said they were not registered, they were eliminated from the sample.

11. A description of how the weights were calculated, including the variables used and the sources of weighting parameters, if weighted estimates are reported.

The data was weighted by age, gender, education, race, party affiliation, and 2017 vote based on a 2021 turnout model.

12. If the results reported are based on multiple samples or multiple modes, the preceding items will be disclosed for each. **Reviewer: Type NA if not applicable.**

N/A

13. Contact for obtaining more information about the study.

emersonpolling@emerson.edu
1. How likely are you to vote in the California gubernatorial recall election on September 14th?
   Not at all likely (end)
   Not very likely (end)
   Somewhat likely
   Very likely
   I already voted

2. Would you vote to recall, or to keep Governor Newsom?
   Vote to recall (go to 4)
   Vote to keep Governor Newsom (go to 4)
   Undecided (go to 3)

3. Are you leaning towards recalling, or keeping Governor Newsom?
   Vote to recall
   Vote to keep Governor Newsom

4. Which candidate would you vote for to replace Governor Gavin Newsom if he is recalled?
   John Cox (R)
   John Drake (D)
   Larry Elder (R)
   Kevin Faulconer (R)
   Caitlyn Jenner (R)
   Kevin Kiley (R)
   Jacqueline McGowan (D)
   Doug Ose (R)
   Kevin Paffrath (D)
   No one
   Undecided/Someone else (please specify)

5. What is your party affiliation?
   Not registered
   Democrat
   Republican
   Independent or other

6. Who did you vote for in the 2020 election?
   Joe Biden
   Donald Trump
   Someone else
   Did not vote

7. What is your gender?
Male
Female
Non-binary or other

8. For statistical purposes only, can you please tell me your ethnicity?
Hispanic or Latino of any race
White or Caucasian
Black or African American
Asian American or Pacific Islander
Other or multiple races

9. What is your age range?
18-29 years
30-49 years
50-64 years
65 or more years

10. What is the highest level of education you have attained?
High school or less
Some college
College graduate
Postgrad or higher

11. Which congressional district do you live in?
1st district: Rep. Doug LaMalfa (R)
2nd district: Rep. Jared Huffman (D)
3rd District: Rep. John Garamendi (D)
4th District: Rep. Tom McClintock (R)
5th District: Rep. Mike Thompson (D)
6th District: Rep. Doris Matsui (D)
7th District: Rep. Ami Bera (D)
8th District: Rep. Jay Obernolte (R)
9th District: Rep. Jerry McNerney (D)
10th District: Rep. Josh Harder (D)
11th District: Rep. Mark DeSaulnier (D)
12th District: Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D)
13th District: Rep. Barbara Lee (D)
14th District: Rep. Jackie Speier (D)
15th District: Rep. Eric Swalwell (D)
16th District: Rep. Jim Costa (D)
17th District: Rep. Ro Khanna (D)
18th District: Rep. Anna Eshoo (D)
19th District: Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D)
20th District: Rep. Jimmy Panetta (D)
21st District: Rep. David Valadao (R)
22nd District: Rep. Devin Nunes (R)
23rd District: Rep. Kevin McCarthy (R)
24th District: Rep. Salud Carbajal (D)
25th District: Rep. Mike Garcia (R)
26th District: Rep. Julia Brownley (D)
27th District: Rep. Judy Chu (D)
28th District: Rep. Adam Schiff (D)
29th District: Rep. Tony Cárdenas (D)
30th District: Rep. Brad Sherman (D)
31st District: Rep. Pete Aguilar (D)
32th District: Rep. Grace Napolitano (D)
33rd District: Rep. Ted Lieu (D)
34th District: Rep. Jimmy Gomez (D)
35th District: Rep. Norma Torres (D)
36th District: Rep. Raul Ruiz (D)
37th District: Rep. Karen Bass (D)
38th District: Rep. Linda Sánchez (D)
39th District: Rep. Young Kim (R)
40th District: Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard (D)
41st District: Rep. Mark Takano (D)
42nd District: Rep. Ken Calvert (R)
43rd District: Rep. Maxine Waters (D)
44th District: Rep. Nanette Barragan (D)
45th District: Rep. Katie Porter (D)
46th District: Rep. Lou Correa (D)
47th District: Rep. Alan Lowenthal (D)
48th District: Rep. Michelle Steel (R)
49th District: Rep. Mike Levin (D)
50th District: Rep. Darrell Issa (R)
51st District: Rep. Juan Vargas (D)
52nd District: Rep. Scott Peters (D)
53rd District: Rep. Sara Jacobs (D)