

**TIP 35**

**ENHANCING MOTIVATION FOR CHANGE IN SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER TREATMENT**

**Chapter 3-Motivational Interviewing as a Counseling Style**

*I I*

###### Motivational interviewing is a person-centered counseling style for addressing the common problem of ambivalence about change."

-Miller & Rollnick, 2073 , p . 21

* Ambivalence can be resolved by exploring the client's intrinsic motivations and values.
* The spirit of motivational interviewing (Ml) is the foundation of the counseling skills required for enhancing clients' motivation to change.
* Ambivalence about change is normal; resolving clients' ambivalence about substance use is a key Ml focus.
* Resistance to change is an expression of ambivalence about change, not a client trait or characteristic.
* Reflective listening is fundamental to the four Ml process (i.e., engaging, focusing, evoking, and planning) and core counseling strategies.

**KEY MESSAGES**

* Your alliance with the client is a collaborative part n ership to which you each bring important expertise.
* An empathic, supportive counseling style provides conditions under which change can occur.

**You can use Ml to effectively reduce or eliminate client substance use and other health-risk behaviors** in many settings and across genders, ages, races, and ethnicities (DiClemente, Corno, Graydon, Wiprovnick, & Knoblach, 2017; Dillard, Zuniga, & Holstad, 2017; Lundahl et al., 2013).

Analysis of more than 200 randomized clinical trials found significant efficacy of Ml in the treatment of SUDs (Miller & Rollnick, 2014).

Chapter 3 explores specific Ml strategies you can use to help clients who misuse substances or who have substance use disorders (SUDs) strengthen their motivation and commitment to change their substance use behaviors. This chapter examines what's new in Ml, the spirit of Ml, the concept of ambivalence, core counseling skills, and the four processes of Ml, as well as the effectiveness of Ml in treating SUDs.

# Introduction to Ml

**Ml** is a counseling style based on the following assumpt ions :

* Ambivalence about substance use and change is normal and is an important motivational barrier to substance use behavior change.

The Ml counseling style helps clients resolve ambivalence that keeps them from reaching personal goals. Ml builds on Carl Rogers' (1965) humanistic theories about people's capacity for exercising free choice and self-determination. Rogers identified the sufficient conditions for client change, which are now called "common factors" of therapy, including counselor empathy (Miller & Moyers, 2017).

**As a counselor, your main goals in Ml are to express empathy and elicit clients' reasons for and commitment to changing substance use behaviors** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Ml

is particularly helpful when clients are in the Precontemplation and Contemplation stages of the Stages of Change (SOC), when readiness to change is low, but it can also be useful throughout the change cycle.



TIP 35

Enhancing Motivation for Change in Substance Use Disorder Treatment

#### The Spirit of Ml

**Use an Ml counseling style to support partnership with clients.** Collaborative counselor­ client relationships are the essence of Ml, without which Ml counseling techniques are ineffective.

Counselor Ml spirit is associated with positive client engagement behaviors (e.g., self-disclosure, cooperation) (Romano & Peters, 2016) and positive client outcomes in health-related behaviors (e.g., exercise, medication adherence) similar to those

in addiction treatment (Copeland, McNamara, Kelson, & Simpson, 2015).

The spirit of Ml (Miller & Rollnick, 2013) comprises the following elements:

* **Partnership** refers to an active collaboration between you and the client. A client is more willing to express concerns when you are empathetic and show genuine curiosity about the client's perspective. In this partnership, you are influential, but the client drives

the conversation.

* **Acceptance** refers to your respect for and approval of the client. This doesn't mean agreeing with everything the client says but is a demonstration of your intention to understand the client's point of view and concerns. In the context of Ml, there are four components

of acceptance:

* + ***Absolute worth:*** Prizing the inherent worth and potential of the client
  + ***Accurate empathy:*** An active interest in, and an effort to understand, the client's internal perspective reflected by your genuine curiosity and reflective listening
  + ***Autonomy support:*** Honoring and respecting a client's right to and capacity for self-direction
  + ***Affirmation:*** Acknowledging the client's values and strengths
* **Compassion** refers to your active promotion of the client's welfare and prioritization of client needs.
* **Evocation** elicits and explores motivations, values, strengths, and resources the client already has.

To remember the four elements, use the acronym PACE (Stinson & Clark, 2017). The specific counseling strategies you use in your counseling approach should emphasize one or more of these elements.

**Principles of Person-Centered Counseling** Ml reflects a longstanding tradition of humanistic counseling and the person-centered approach of

Carl Ro ge rs . It is theoretically linked to his theory

of the "critical conditions for change," which states that clients change when they are engaged in a therapeutic relationship in which the counselor

is genuine and warm, expresses unconditional positive regard, and displays accurate empathy (Rogers, 1965).

**Ml adds another dimension in your efforts to provide person-centered counseling.** In Ml, the counselor follows the principles of person-centered counseling but also guides the conversation toward a specific, client-driven change goal. Ml is more directive than purely person-centered counseling; it is guided by the following broad person-centered counseling principles (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* SUD treatment services exist to help recipients. The needs of the client take precedence over the counselor's or organization's needs or goals.
* The client engages in a process of self-change.

You facilitate the client's natural process of change.

* The client is the expert in his or her own life and has knowledge of what works and what doesn't.
* As the counselor, you **do not** make change happen.
* People have their own motivation, strengths, and resources. Counselors help activate those resources.
* You are not responsible for coming up with all the good ideas about change, and you probably don't have the best ideas for any particular client.
* Change requires a partnership and "collaboration of expertise."
* You must understand the client's perspectives on his or her problems and need to change.
* The counseling relationship is not a power struggle. Conversations about change should not become debates. Avoid arguing with or trying to persuade the client that your position is correct.
* Motivation for change is evoked from, not given to, the client.
* People make their own decisions about taking action. It is not a change goal until the client says so .
* The spirit of Ml and client-centered counseling principles foster a sound therapeutic alliance .

**EXHIBIT 3.1. A Comparison of Original and Updated Versions of Ml**

*Sou rce: Miller* & *Ro i/n ick,* 799 7, 2 0 02, *2 0 73 ; M ill er* & *Rose, 2073.*

Research on person-centered counseling approaches consistent with Ml in treating alcohol use disorder (AUD) found that several sessions

improved client outcomes, including readiness to change and reductions in alcohol use (Barrio & Gual, 2016).

# What Is New in Ml

Much has changed in Ml since Miller and Rollnick's original (1991) and updated (2002) work. Exhibit

3.1 summarizes important changes to Ml based on

decades of research and clinical experience.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ORIGINAL VERSION** | **UPDATED VERSION** |
| Four principles as the basis for the Ml approach :   1. **Express empathy:** Demonstrate empathy through reflective list ening . 2. **Develop discrepancy:** Guide conversations to highlight the difference between clients' goals or values and their current behavior. 3. **Roll with resistance:** Avoid arguing against the status quo or arguing for change. 4. **Support self-efficacy:** Support clients' beliefs that change is possible.   Although these general principles are still helpful, the new emphasis in Ml is on **evoking change talk** and **commitment to change** as primary principles. | Four processes as the basis for the Ml app roach :   1. **Engaging** is the relational foundation. 2. **Focusing** identifies agenda and change goals. 3. **Evoking** uses MI core skills and strategies for moving toward a specific change goal. 4. **Planning** is the bridge to behavior change.   The four processes replace Phase I and II stages in the original version of Ml. Core skills and strategies of Ml include asking open questions, affirming, using reflective listening , and sum m arizing ; all are integrated into the four processes. The original four principles have been folded into the four processes as reflective listening or strategic responses to move conversations along. |
| Resistance is a characteristic of the client. | Resistance is an expression of sustain talk and the status quo side of ambivalence, arising out of counselor-client discord. |
| Rolling with resistance | Strategies to lessen sustain talk and counselor-client discord |
| Self-motivating statements | Change talk |
| Decisional balancing is a strategy to help clients move in one direction toward changing a b ehavior. | Decisional balancing is used to help clients make a decision without favoring a specific direction of chang e. It may be useful as a way to assess client readiness to change but also may increase ambivalence for clients who are contemplating change. |

Exhibit 3.2 presents common misconceptions about Ml and provides clarification of Mi's underlying theoretical assumptions and counseling approach, which are described in the rest of this chapter.

**EXHIBIT 3.2. Misconceptions and Clarifications About Ml**

*Sources: Miller* & *Ro i/nick , 2073, 2074; Moyers, 2074.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **MISCONCEPTION** | **CLARIFICATION** |
| Ml is a form of nondirective, Rogerian therapy. | Ml shares many principles of the humanistic, person-centered approach pioneered by Rogers, but it is not Rogerian therapy. Characteristics that differentiate MI from Rogerian therapy include clearly identified target behaviors and change goals and differential evoking and strengthening of clients' motivation for changing target behavior . Unlike Rogerian therapy, Ml has a strategic component that emphasizes helping clients move toward a specific behavioral change goal. |
| Ml is a counseling technique. | Although there are specific Ml counseling strategies, Ml is not a counseling technique. It is a style of being with people that uses specific clinical skills to foster motivation to change. |
| MI is a "school" of counseling or psychot herapy . | Some psychological theories underlie the spirit and style of Ml, but it was not meant to be a theory of change with a comprehensive set of associated clinical skills. |
| Ml and the SOC approach are the same. | Ml and the SOC were developed around the same time, and people confuse the two app roaches . Ml is not the SOC. Ml is not an essential part of the SOC and vice versa. They are compatible and complementary. Ml is also compatible with counseling approaches like cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). |
| MI aIways uses assessment feedback. | Assessment feedback delivered in the Ml style was an adaptation of Ml that became motivational enhancement therapy (M ET). Although personalized feedback may be helpful to enhance motivation with clients who are on the lower end of the readiness to change spectrum, it is not a necessary part of Ml. |
| Counselors can motivate clients to change. | You cannot manufacture motivation that is not already in clients. Ml does not motive clients to change or to move toward a predetermined treatment goal. It is a collaborative partnership between you and clients to discover their motivation to chang e. It respects client autonomy and self-determination about goals for behavior change. |

# Ambivalence

A key concept in Ml is ambivalence. It is normal for people to feels two ways about making an important change in their lives. **Frequently, client ambivalence is a roadblock to change, not a**

**lack of knowledge or skills about how to change** (Forman & Moyers, 2019). Individuals with SUDs are often aware of the risks associated with their

substance use but continue to use substances anyway. They may need to stop using substances, but they continue to use. The tension between these feelings is ambivalence.

Ambivalence about changing substance use behaviors is natural. As clients move from Precontemplation to Contemplation, their feelings of conflict about change increase. This

tension may help move people toward change, but often the tension of ambivalence leads people to avoid thinking about the problem.

They may tell themselves things aren't so bad (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). **View ambivalence not as denial or resistance, but as a normal**

**experience in the change process.** If you interpret ambivalence as denial or resistance, you are likely to evoke discord between you and clients, which

is counterproductive.

In Ml, your main goal is to evoke change talk

and minimize evoking or reinforcing sustain talk in counseling sessions.

***II***

**Sustain Talk and Change Talk Recognizing sustain talk and change talk in clients will help you better explore and**

**address their ambivalence.** Sustain talk consists

of client statements that support not changing a health-risk behavior, like substance misuse. Change talk consists of client statements that favor change (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Sustain

talk and change talk are expressions of both sides of ambivalence about change. Over time, Ml

has evolved in its understanding of what keeps clients stuck in ambivalence about change and what supports clients to move in the direction of changing substance use behaviors. Client stuck

in ambivalence will engage in a lot of sustain talk, whereas clients who are more ready to change will engage in more change talk with stronger statements supporting change.

Greater frequency of client sustain talk in sessions is linked to poorer substance use treatment outcomes (Lindqvist, Forsberg, Enebrink, Andersson, & Rosendahl, 2017; Magill et al., 2014; Rodriguez, Walters, Houck, Ortiz, & Taxman, 2017). Conversely, Ml-consistent counselor behavior focused on eliciting and reflecting change talk, more client change talk compared with sustain talk, and stronger commitment change talk are linked to better substance use outcomes (Barnett, Moyers,

et al., 2014; Borsari et al., 2018; Houck, Manuel, & Moyers, 2018; Magill et al., 2014, 2018; Romano & Peters, 2016). Counselor empathy is also linked to eliciting client change talk (Pace et al., 2017).

Another development in Ml is the delineation of different kinds of change talk. The acronym for change talk in Ml is DARN-CAT (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* Desire to change: This is expressed in statements about wanting something different­ "! want to find an Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) meeting" or "I hope to start going to AA."
* Ability to change: This is expressed in statements about self-perception of capability­ "I could start going to **AA."**
* Reasons to change: This is expressed as arguments for change-"l'd probably learn more about recovery if I went to AA" or "Going to AA would help me feel more supported."
* **Need** to change: This is expressed in client statements about importance or urgency-"! have to stop drinking" or "I need to find a way to get my drinking under control."
* Commitment: This is expressed as a promise to change-"I swear I will go to an **AA** meeting this year" or "I guarantee that I will start AA by next month."
* Activation: This is expressed in statements showing movement toward action-"l'm ready to go to my first AA meeting."
* Taking steps: This is expressed in statements indicating that the client has already done something to change-"I went to an **AA** meeting" or "I avoided a party where friends would be doing drugs."

Exhibit 3.3 depicts examples of change talk and sustain talk that correspond to DARN-CAT.

**EXHIBIT 3.3. Examples of Change Talk and Sustain Talk**

*Source: Miller* & *Ro i/n ick , 2073.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TYPE OF STATEMENT** | **EXAMPLES OF CHANGE TALK** | **EXAMPLES OF SUSTAIN TALK** |
| **Desire** | "I want to cut down on my drinking ." | "I love how cocaine makes me feel." |
| **Ability** | "I could cut back to l drink with dinner on weekends." | "I can manage my life just fine without giving up the drug." |
| **Reasons** | "I'll miss less time at work if I cut down." | "Getting high helps me feel energized." |
| **Need** | "I have to cut down. My doctor told me that the amount I am drinking puts my health at risk." | "I need to get high to keep me going every day." |
| **Commitment** | "I promise to cut back this weekend." | "I am going to keep snorting cocaine ." |
| **Activation** | "I am ready to do something about the drinking." | "I am not ready to give up the cocaine." |
| **Taking steps** | "I only had one drink with dinner on Sat urd ay." | "I am still snorting cocaine every day." |

**To make the best use of clients' change talk and sustain talk that arise in sessions, remember to:**

* Recognize client expressions of change talk but don't worry about differentiating various kinds of change talk during a counseling session.
* Use reflective listening to reinforce and help clients elaborate on change tal k.
* Use DARN-CAT in conversations with clients.
* Recognize sustain talk and use **Ml** strategies to lessen the impact of sustain talk on clients' readiness to change (see discussion of

responding to change talk and sustain talk in the next section).

* Be aware that both sides of ambivalence (change talk and sustain talk) will be present in your conversations with clients.

**A New Look at Resistance Understanding the role of resistance and how to respond to it can help you maintain**

**good counselor-client rapport.** Resistance in

SUD treatment has historically been considered a problem centered in the client. As Ml has developed over the years, its understanding of resistance has changed. Instead of emphasizing

resistance as a pathological defense mechanism, Ml views resistance as a normal part of ambivalence and a client's reaction to the counselor's approach in the moment (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

**A** client may express resistance in sustain talk that favors the "no change" side of ambivalence. The way you respond to sustain talk can contribute to the client becoming firmly planted in the status quo or help the client move toward contemplating change. For example, the client's show of ambivalence about change and your arguments for change can create discord in your therapeutic relationship.

Client sustain talk is often evoked by discord in the counseling relationship (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). **Resistance is a two-way street. If discord arises in conversation, change direction or listen more carefully.** This is an opportunity

to respond in a new, perhaps surprising, way and to take advantage of the situation without being confrontational. This new way of looking at resistance is consistent with the principles of person-centered counseling described at the beginning of the chapter.

## Core Skills of MI: OARS

To remember the core counseling skills of Ml, use the acronym OARS (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* Asking Open questions
* Affirming
* Reflective listening
* Summarizing

These core skills are consistent with the principles of person-centered counseling and can be used throughout your work with clients. If you use these skills, you will more likely have greater success

in engaging clients and less incidence of discord within the counselor-client relat io nship . These core skills are described below.

#### Asking Open Questions

**Use open questions to invite clients to tell their story rather than closed questions, which merely elicit brief information.** Open questions are questions that invite clients to reflect before answering and encourage them to elaborate.

Asking open questions helps you understand their point of view. Open questions facilitate a dialog and do not require any particular response from you. They encourage clients to do most of the talking and keep the conversation moving forward. Closed questions evoke yes/no or short answers and sometimes make clients feel as if they have to come up with the right answ er. One type of open question is actually a statement that begins with "Tell me about" or "Tell me more ab o ut ." The "Tell me about" statement invites clients to tell a story and serves as an open question.

Exhibit 3.4 provides examples of closed and open questions. As you read these examples, imagine you are a client and notice the difference in how you might receive and respond to each kind of quest io n.

**EXHIBIT 3.4. Closed and Open Questions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CLOSED QUESTIONS** | **OPEN QUESTIONS** |
| "So you are here because you are concerned about your use of alcohol, correct? " | "What is it that brings you here today?" |
| "How many children do you have?" | "Tell me about your fam ily." |
| "Do you agree that it would be a good idea for you to go through detoxification?" | "What do you think about the possibility of going through detoxification?" |
| "On a typical day, how much marijuana do you smoke?" | "Tell me about your marijuana use on a typical day." |
| "Did your doctor tell you to quit smoking?" | "What did your doctor tell you about the health risks of smoking?" |
| "How has your drug use been this week compared with last week: more, less, or about the same?" | "What has your drug use been like during the past week?" |
| "Do you think you use amphetamines too often?" | "In what ways are you concerned about your use of amphetamines?" |
| "How long ago did you have your last drink?" | "Tell me about the last time you drank." |
| "Are you sure that your probation officer told you that it's only cocaine he is concerned about in your urine screens? " | "Tell me more about the conditions of your probation." |
| "When do you plan to quit drinking?" | "What do you think you want to do about your drin king? " |

There may be times when you must ask closed questions, for example, to gather information for a screening or assessment. However, if you use open questions-"Tell me about the last time you used methamphetamines"-you will often get the information you need and enhance the process

of engagement. **During assessment, avoid the question-and-answer trap, which can decrease rapport, become an obstacle to counselor-client engagement, and stall conversations.**

**Ml involves maintaining a balance between asking questions and reflective listening** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Ask one open question, and follow it with two or more reflective listening responses.

#### Affirming

**Affirming is a way to express your genuine appreciation and positive regard for clients** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Affirming clients supports and promotes self-efficacy. By affirming, you are saying, "I see you, what you say matters, and I want to understand what you think and feel" (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). **Affirming can boost clients' confidence about taking action.** Using affirmations in conversations with clients consistently predicts positive client outcomes (Romano & Peters, 2016).

When affirming:

* Emphasize client strengths, past successes, and efforts to take steps, however small, to accomplish change goals.
* Do not confuse this type of feedback with praise, which can sometimes be a roadblock to effective listening (Gordon, 1970; see Exhibit 3.5 below in the section "Reflective Listening").
* Frame your affirming statements with "you" instead of "I." For example, instead of saying "I am proud of you," which focuses more on you than on the client, try "You have worked really hard to get to where you are now in your

life," which demonstrates your appreciation, but keeps the focus on the client (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

* Use statements such as (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):
  + "You took a big step in coming here today."
  + "You got discouraged last week but kept going to your AA meetings. You are persistent."
  + "Although things didn't turn out the way you hoped, you tried really hard, and that means a lot."
  + "That's a good idea for how you can avoid situations where you might be tempted

to drink."

**There may be ethnic, cultural, and even personal differences in how people respond to affirming statements.** Be aware of verbal and nonverbal cues about how the client is reacting and be

open to checking out the client's reaction with an open question-"How was that for you to hear?" Strategies for forming affirmations that account for cultural and personal differences include (Rosengren, 2018):

* Focusing on specific behaviors to affirm.
* Avoiding using "I."
* Emphasizing descriptions instead of evaluations.
* Emphasizing positive developments instead of continuing problems.
* Affirming interesting qualities and strengths of clients.
* Holding an awareness of client strengths instead of deficits as you formulate affirmations.

#### Reflective Listening

**Reflective listening is the key component of expressing empathy.** Reflective listening is fundamental to person-centered counseling in general and Ml in particular (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Reflective listening (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* Communicates respect for and acceptance of clients.
* Establishes trust and invites clients to explore their own perceptions, values, and feelings.
* Encourages a nonjudgmental, collaborative relationship.
* Allows you to be supportive without agreeing with specific client statements.

Reflective listening builds collaboration and a safe and open environment that is conducive to examining issues and eliciting the client's reasons for change. It is both an expression of empathy and a way to selectively reinforce change talk (Romano & Peters, 2016). Reflective listening demonstrates that you are genuinely interested in understanding the client's unique perspective, feelings, and values. Expressions of counselor empathy predict better substance use outcomes

(Moyers, Houck, Rice, Longabaugh, & Miller, 2016). Your attitude should be one of acceptance but not necessarily approval or agreement, recognizing that ambivalence about change is normal.

**Consider ethnic and cultural differences when expressing empathy through reflective listening.** These differences influence how both you and the client interpret verbal and nonverbal communications.

#### EXPERT COMMENT: EXPRESSING EMPATHY WITH AFRICAN AMERICAN CLIENTS

One way I empathize with African American clients is, first and foremost, to be a genuine person (not just a counselor). Clients may begin the relationship asking questions about you the person, not the professional, in an attempt to locate you in the world. It's as if clients' internal dialog says, "As you try to understand me, by what pathways, perspectives, life experiences, and values are you coming to that understanding of me?"

Typical questions my African American clients have asked me are:

* "Are you Christian?"
* "Where are you from?"
* "What part of town do you live in?"
* "Who are your folks?"
* "Are you married?"

All of these are reasonable questions that work to establish a real, not contrived, relationship with the counselor. As part of a democratic partnership, clients have a right and, in some instances, a cultural expectation to know about the helper.

On another level, many African Americans are very spiritual people. This spirituality is expressed and practiced in ways that supersede religious affiliations. Young people pat their chests and say, "I feel you," as a way to describe this sense of empathy. Understanding and working with this can enhance the counselor's expression of empathy. In other words, the therapeutic counselor-client alliance can be deepened, permitting another level of empathic connection that some might

call an intuitive understanding and others might call a spiritual connection to each client. What emerges is a therapeutic alliance-a spiritual connection-that goes beyond what mere words can say. The more counselors express that side of themselves, whether they call it intuition or spirituality, the more intense the empathic connection the African American client will feel.

*Cheryl Grills, Ph.D., Consensus Panel Member*

For many traditional American Indian groups, expressing empathy begins with the introduction. Native Americans generally expect the counselor to be aware of and practice the culturally accepted norms for introducing oneself and showing respect. For example, during the first meeting, the person often is expected to say his or her name, clan relationship or ethnic origin, and place of origin. Physical contact is kept to a minimum, except for a brief handshake, which may be no more than a soft touch of the palms.

*Ray Da w, Co nsensu s Pa nel M em ber*

**EXPERT COMMENT: EXPRESSING EMPATHY WITH AMERICAN IN DIAN/NATIVE AMERICAN CLIENTS**

Reflective listening is not as easy as it sounds. It is not simply a matter of being quiet while the client is sp eaking . **Reflective listening requires you to make a mental hypothesis about the underlying meaning or feeling of client statements and then reflect that back to the client with your best**

**EXHIBIT 3.5. Gordon's 12 Roadblocks to Active Listening**

*Continued on next page*

**guess about his or her meaning or feeling** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Gordon (1970) called this "active listening" and identified 12 kinds of responses that people often give to others that are not active listening and can actually derail a conversation.

Exhibit 3.5 describes these roadblocks to listening.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Ordering, directing, or commanding** | Direction is given with a voice of aut hority . The speaker may be in a position of power (e.g., parent, em ploy er, counselor) or the words may simply be phrased and spoken in a way that communicates that the speaker is the expert . |
| **2. Warning, cautioning, or threatening** | These statements carry an overt or covert threat of negative consequences. For example, "If you don't stop drinking , you are going to die." |
| **3. Giving advice, making suggestions, or providing solutions prematurely or when unsolicited** | The message recommends a course of action based on your knowledge and personal experience. These recommendations often begin with phrases like "What I would do is." |
| **4. Persuading with logic, arguing, or lecturing** | The underlying assumption of these messages is that the client has not reasoned through the problem adequately and needs help to do so. Trying to persuade the client that your position is correct will most likely evoke a reaction and the client taking the opposite position. |
| **5. Moralizing, preaching, or telling people what they**  **should do** | These statements contain such words as "should" or "oug ht," which imply or directly convey negative judgment. |
| **6. Judging, criticizing, disagreeing, or blaming** | These messages imply that something is wrong with the client or with what the client has said. Even simple disagreement may be interpreted as critical. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **7. Agreeing, approving, or praising** | Praise or approval can be an obstacle if the message sanctions or implies agreement with whatever the client has said or if the praise is given too often or in general terms, like "great job." This  can lessen the impact on the person or simply disrupt the flow of the conversation. |
| **8. Shaming, ridiculing, or labeling** | These statements express disapproval and intent to correct a specific behavior or attitude. They can damage self-esteem and cause major disruptions in the counseling alliance. |
| **9. Interpreting or analyzing** | You may be tempted to impose your own interpretations on a client's statement and to find some hidden, analytical meaning. Interpretive statements might imply you know what the client's "real" problem is and puts you in a one-up position. |
| **10. Reassuring, sympathizing, or consoling** | Counselors often want to console the client . It is human nature to want to reassure someone who is in pain; however, sympathy is not the same as empathy. Such reassurance can interrupt the flow of communication and interfere with careful listening. |
| **11. Questioning or probing** | Do not mistake questioning for good listening. Although you may ask questions to learn more about the client, the underlying message is that you might find the right answer to all the  client's problems if enough questions are asked. In fact, intensive questioning can disrupt communication, and sometimes the client feels as if he or she is being interrogated. |
| **12. Withdrawing, distracting, humoring, or changing the subject** | Although shifting the focus or using humor may be helpful at times, it can also be a distraction and disrupt the communication. |

**If you engage in any of these 12 activities,**

*Continued*

*Source: Cordon,* 7970.

**you are talking and not listening.** However well intentioned, these roadblocks to listening shift the focus of the conversation from the client to the counselor. They are not consistent with the principles of person-centered counseling .

##### *Types of reflective listening*

In Ml, there are several kinds of reflective listening responses that range from simple (i.e., repeating or rephrasing a client statement) to complex (i.e., using different words to reflect the underlying meaning or feeling of a client

statement). **Simple reflections engage clients and let them know that you're genuinely interested in understanding their perspective. Complex reflections invite clients to deepen their self­ exploration** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). In Ml, there are special complex reflections that you can use

in specific counseling situations, like using a

double-sided reflection when clients are expressing ambivalence about changing a substance use behavior. Exhibit 3.6 provides examples of simple and complex reflective listening responses to client statements about substance use.

**EXHIBIT 3.6. Types of Reflective Listening Responses**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TYPE** | **CLIENT STATEMENT** | **COUNSELOR RESPONSE** | **PURPOSE** | **SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS** |
| **Simple** | | | | |
| **Repeat** | "My wife is nagging me about my drinking." | "Your wife is nagging you about your drinking." | Builds rapport. Expresses empathy. | Avoid mimicking. |
| **Rephrase** | "My wife is nagging me about my drinking." | "Your wife is pressuring you about your drinking." | Expresses empathy.  Highlights selected meaning or feeling. | Move the conversation along, but more slowly than complex reflections. |
| **Complex** | | | | |
| **Feeling** | "I'd like to quit smoking marijuana so that the second- hand pot smoke won't worsen my daughter's asthma." | "You're afraid that your daughter's asthma  will get worse if you continue smoking m ar ijuana ." | Highlights selected feeling.  Highlights discrepancy between values and current behavior. | Selectively reinforce change t alk .  Avoid reinforcing sustain talk . |
| **Meaning** | "I'd like to quit smoking marijuana because I read that second-hand pot smoke can make asthma worse and I don't want that  to happen to my daughter." | "You want to protect your daughter from the possibility that her asthma will get worse if you continue smoking m ar ijuana ." | Highlights selected meaning .  Highlights discrepancy between values and current behavior. | Selectively reinforce change t alk .  Avoid reinforcing sustain talk . |
| **Double- sided** | "I know I should give up drinking, but I can't imagine life without it." | "Giving up drinking would be hard, **and** you recognize that it's time to stop." | Resolves ambivalence.  Acknowledges sustain talk **and** emphasizes change talk . | Use "and" to join two reflections.  Start with sustain talk reflection and end with change talk reflect ion. |
| **Amplified** | "I think my cocaine use is just not a problem for me." | "There are absolutely no negative consequences of using cocaine." | Intensifies sustain talk to evoke change t alk . | Use sparing ly.  Avoid getting stuck in sustain talk. |

*Source: Miller* & *Ro i/n ick , 2073.*

**46 Chapter** 3

##### *Forming complex reflections*

Simple reflections are fairly straightforward. You simply repeat or paraphrase what the client said. Complex reflections are more challenging. A statement could have many meanings. The first step in making a complex reflection of meaning or feelings is to make a hypothesis in your mind about what the client is trying to say (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

**Use these steps to form a mental hypothesis about meaning or feelings:**

1. If the client says, "I drink because I am lonely," think about the possible meanings of "lonely." Perhaps the client is saying, "I lost my spouse" or "It is hard for me to make friends" or "I can't think of anything to say when I am with my family."
2. Consider the larger conversational context. Has the client noted not having much of a social life?
3. Make your best guess about the meaning of the client's statement.
4. Offer a reflective listening response-"You drink because it is hard for you to make friends."
5. Wait for the client's response. The client will tell you either verbally or nonverbally if your guess is correct. If the client continues to talk and expands on the initial statement, you are on target.
6. Be open to being wrong. If you are, use client feedback to make another hypothesis about the client's meaning.

Remember that reflective listening is about refraining from making assumptions about the underlying message of client statements, making a hypothesis about the meaning or feeling of the statement, and then checking out your hypothesis by offering a reflective statement and listening carefully to the client's response (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Reflective listening is basic to all of four Ml processes. **Follow open questions with at least**

**one reflective listening response-but preferably two or three responses-before asking another question. A** higher ratio of reflections to questions consistently predicts positive client outcomes (Romano & Peters, 2016). It takes practice to become skillful, but the effort is worth it because

careful reflective listening builds a strong therapeutic alliance and facilitates the client's self­ exploration-two essential components of person­ centered counseling (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

The key to expressing accurate empathy through reflective listening is your ability to shift gears from being an expert who gives advice to being an individual supporting the client's autonomy and expertise in making decisions about changing substance use behaviors (Moyers, 2014).

#### Summarizing

**Summarizing is a form of reflective listening that distills the essence of several client statements and reflects them back to him or her.** It is not simply a collection of statements. You intentionally select statements that may have particular meaning for the client and present them in a summary that paints a fuller picture of the client's experience than simply using reflections (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

There are several types of summarization in Ml (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Collecting summary:** Recalls a series of related client statements, creating a narrative to

reflect on.

* **Linking summary:** Reflects a client statement; links it to an earlier statement.
* **Transitional summary:** Wraps up a

conversation or task; moves the client along the change process.

* **Ambivalence summary:** Gathers client statements of sustain talk and change talk during a session. This summary should acknowledge sustain talk but reinforce and highlight change talk.
* **Recapitulation summary:** Gathers all of the change talk of many conversations. It is useful during the transition from one stage to the next when making a change plan.

At the end of a summary, ask the client whether you left anything out. This opportunity lets the client correct or add more to the summary and often leads to further discussion. Summarizing encourages client self-reflection.

**Summaries reinforce key statements of movement toward change. Clients hear change talk once when they make a statement, twice when the counselor reflects it, and again when the counselor summarizes the discussion.**

## Four Processes of MI

**Ml has moved away from the idea of phases of change to overlapping processes that more accurately describe how Ml works in clinical**

**practice.** This change is a shift away from a linear, rigid model of change to a circular, fluid model

of change within the context of the counseling relationship. This section reviews these Ml processes, summarizes counseling strategies appropriate for each process, and integrates the four principles of **Ml** from previous versions.

#### Engaging

**Engaging clients is the first step in all counseling approaches.** Specific counseling strategies or techniques will not be effective if you and the client haven't established a strong working relationship.

Ml is no exception to this. Miller and Rollnick (2013) define engaging in Ml "as the process of establishing a mutually trusting and respectful helping relationship" (p. 40). Research supports the link between your ability to develop this kind of helping relationship and positive treatment outcomes such as reduced drinking (Moyers et al., 2016; Romano & Peters, 2016).

##### *Opening strategies*

**Opening strategies promote engagement in Ml by emphasizing OARS in the following ways:**

* Ask open questions instead of closed questions.
* Offer affirmations of client self-efficacy, hope, and confidence in the client's ability to change.
* Emphasize reflective listening.
* Summarize to reinforce that you are listening and genuinely interested in the client's perspective.
* Determine the client's readiness to change or and specific stage in the SOC (see Chapters 1 and 2).
* Avoid prematurely focusing on taking action.
* Try not to identify the client's treatment goals until you have sufficiently explored the client's readiness. Then you can address the client's ambivalence.

These opening strategies ensure support for the client and help the client explore ambivalence in a safe setting. In the following initial conversation,

the counselor uses **OARS** to establish rapport and address the client's drinking through reflective listening and asking open questions:

* **Counselor:** Jerry, thanks for coming in. *(Affirmation)* What brings you here today? *(Open question)*
* **Client:** My wife thinks I drink too much. She says that's why we argue all the time. She also thinks that my drinking is ruining my health.
* **Counselor:** So your wife has some concerns about your drinking interfering with your relationship and harming your health. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah, she worries a lot.
* **Counselor:** You wife worries a lot about the drinking. *(Reflection)* What concerns **you** about it? *(Open question)*
* **Client:** I'm not sure I'm *concerned* about it, but I do wonder sometimes if I'm drinking too much.
* **Counselor:** You are wondering about the drinking. *(Reflection)* Too much for ...? *(Open* question *that* invites *the client* to *complete the* sentence)
* **Client:** For my own good, I guess. I mean it's not like it's really serious, but sometimes when I wake up in the morning, I feel really awful, and I can't think straight most of the morning.
* **Counselor:** It messes up your thinking, your concentration. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah, and sometimes I have trouble remembering things.
* **Counselor:** And you wonder if these problems are related to drinking too much. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Well, I know it is sometimes.
* **Counselor:** You're certain that sometimes drinking too much hurts you. *(Reflection)* Tell me what it's like to lose concentration and have trouble remembering. *(Open question in the* form *of* a statement)



* **Client:** It's kind of scary. I am way too young to have trouble with my memory. And now that

I think about it, that's what usually causes the arguments with my wife. She'll ask me to pick up something from the store and when I forget to stop on my way home from work, she starts yelling at me.

* **Counselor:** You're scared that drinking is starting to have some negative effects on what's important to you like your ability to think clearly and good communication with your wife. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah. But I don't think I'm an alcoholic or anything.
* **Counselor:** You don't think you're that bad off, but you do wonder if maybe you're overdoing it and hurting yourself and your relationship with your wife. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah.
* **Counselor:** You know, Jerry, it takes courage to come talk to a stranger about something that's scary to talk about. *(Affirmation)* What do you think? *(Open question)*
* **Client:** I never thought of it like that. I guess it is important to figure out what to do about my drinking.
* **Counselor:** So, Jerry, let's take a minute to review where we are today. Your wife is

concerned about how much you drink. You have been having trouble concentrating and remembering things and are wondering if that has to do with how much you are drinking.

You are now thinking that you need to figure out what to do about the drinking. Did I miss anything? *(Summary)*

#### Avoiding traps

**Identify and avoid traps to help preserve client engagement.** The above conversation shows use of core Ml skills to engage the client and help him feel heard, understood, and respected while moving the conversation toward change.

The counselor avoids common traps that increase disengagement.

Common traps to avoid include the following (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **The Expert Trap:** People often see a professional, like primary care physician or nurse practitioner, to get answers to questions and

to help them make important decisions. But relying on another person (even a professional) to have all the answers is contrary to the spirit of Ml and the principles of person-centered care. **Both you and the client have expertise.** You have knowledge and skills in listening and interviewing; the client has knowledge based on his or her life experience. In your conversations with a client, remember that you do not have

to have all the answers, and trust that the client has knowledge about what is important to him or her, what needs to change, and what steps need to be taken to make those changes. Avoid falling into the expert trap by:

* + **Refraining from acting on the "righting reflex,"** the natural impulse to jump into action and direct the client toward a specific change. Such a directive style is likely to produce sustain talk and discord in the counseling relationship.
  + **Not arguing with the client.** If you try to prove a point, the client predictably takes the opposite side. Arguments with the client can rapidly degenerate into a power struggle and do not enhance motivation for change.
* **The Labeling Trap:** Diagnoses and labels like "alcoholic" or "addict" can evoke shame in clients. **There is no evidence that forcing a client to accept a label is helpful; in fact,**

**it usually evokes discord in the counseling relationship.** In the conversation above, the counselor didn't argue with Jerry about whether he is an "a lco ho lic . " If the counselor had

done so, the outcome would likely have been different:

* + **Client:** But I don't think I'm an alcoholic or anything.
  + **Counselor:** Well, based on what you've told me, I think we should do a comprehensive assessment to determine whether or not you are.
  + **Client:** Wait a minute. That's not what I came for. I don't think counseling is going to help me.
* **The Question-and-Answer Trap:** When your focus is on getting information from a client, particularly during an assessment, you and

the client can easily fall into the question-and­ answer trap. This can feel like an interrogation rather than a conversation. In addition, a pattern of asking closed questions and giving short answers sets you up in the expert role, and the client becomes a passive recipient of the treatment intervention instead of an active partner in the process. Remember to ask open questions, and follow them with reflective listening responses to avoid the question-and­ answer trap.

* **The Premature Focus Trap:** You can fall into this trap when you focus on an agenda for change before the client is ready-for example, jumping into solving problems before developing a strong working alliance. When you focus on an issue that is important to **you** (e.g., admission to an inpatient treatment program) but not to the client, discord will occur. Remember that your approach should match where the client is with regard to his or her readiness to change.
* **The Blaming Trap:** Clients often enter treatment focused on who is to blame for their substance use problem. They may feel guarded and defensive, expecting you to judge them harshly as family, friends, coworkers, or others may have. Avoid the blame trap by immediately reassuring clients that you are uninterested in blaming anyone and that your role is to listen to what troubles them.

**Focusing**

**Once you have engaged the client, the next step in Ml is to find a direction for the conversation and the counseling process as a whole.** This is called focusing in Ml. With the client, you develop a mutually agreed-on agenda that promotes change and then identify a specific target behavior to discuss. Without a clear focus, conversations about change can be unwieldy and unproductive (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

##### *Deciding on an agenda*


Ml is essentially a conversation you and the client have about change. The direction of the conversation is influenced by the client, the

counselor, and the clinical setting (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). For example, a client walking through the door of an outpatient SUD treatment program understand that his or her use of alcohol and other drugs will be on the agenda.

Clients, however, may be mandated to treatment and may not see their substance use as a problem, or they may have multiple issues (e.g., child care, relational, financial, legal problems) that interfere with recovery and that need to be addressed.

When clients bring multiple problems to the table or are confused or uncertain about the direction of the conversation, you can engage in agenda mapping, which is a process consistent with Ml

that helps you and clients decide on the counseling focus. Exhibit 3.7 displays the components in an agenda map.



**EXHIBIT 3.7. Components in a Sample Agenda Map**

*Source : Miller* & *Ro i/nic k, 2073.*

**To engage in agenda mapping** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* Have an empty agenda map handout handy, or draw 8 to 10 empty circles or shapes on a blank paper.
* Present the empty agenda map or the sheet of paper to the client by saying, "I know you were referred here to address [name the problem, such as drinking], but you may have other concerns you want to discuss. I'd like to take a few minutes and write down things you may want to talk about. That way, we'll have a map we can look at to see whether we're headed in the right direction. How does that sound?"
* Write a different concern or issue in each circle . Leave two or three circles blank so that you can add a new client concern or suggest a topic that may be important to discuss. If you suggest

a topic, frame it in a way that asks permission and leaves the choice to the client: "You've mentioned a few different concerns that are important to discuss. Would it be okay to also talk about [name the problem, such as drug use] because that's why you were referred to treatment?"

* Ask the client what the most pressing concern is: "You've mentioned several things you'd like to talk about . (Summarize) Where would you like to start?"
* Leave time to guide the client back to the substance use concern if not discussed during the session.
* Keep the map as a visual record, and refer back to it with the client as a reminder of the focus and direction of the counseling process . Add and delete topics as needed.
* Remember to use OARS throughout this process to move the conversation along.

##### *Identifying a target behavior*

**Once you and the client agree on a general direction, focus on a specific behavior the client is ready to discuss.** Change talk links to a specific behavior change target (Miller & Roll nick, 2010); you can't evoke change talk until you identify a target behavior. For example, if the client is ready to discuss drinking, guide the conversation toward details specific to that concern. A sample of such a conversation follows:

* **Counselor:** Marla, you said you'd like to talk about your drinking. It would help if you'd give me a sense of what your specific concerns are about drinking. *(Open question in the form of a statement)*
* **Client:** Well, after work I go home to my apartment and I am so tired; I don't want to do anything but watch TV, microwave a meal, and drink till I fall asleep. Then I wake up with

a big hangover in the morning and have a hard time getting to work on time. My supervisor has given me a warning.

* **Counselor:** You're worried that the amount you drink affects your sleep and ability to get to work on time. *(Reflection)* What do you think you'd like to change about the drinking? *(Open question)*



* **Client:** I think I need to stop drinking completely for a while, so I can get into a healthy

sleep pattern.

* **Counselor:** So I'd like to put stop drinking for a while on the map, is that okay? *[Asks*

*permission.* Pauses. *Waits for permission.]* Let's focus our conversations on that goal.

Notice that this client is already expressing change talk about her alcohol use. By narrowing the focus from drinking as a general concern to

stopping drinking as a possible target behavior, the counselor moved into the Ml process of evoking.

**Evoking**

**Evoking elicits client motivations for change.** It shapes conversations in ways that encourage clients, not counselors, to argue for change.

Evoking is the core of Ml and differentiates it from other counseling methods (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). The following sections explore evoking

change talk, responding to change talk and sustain talk, developing discrepancy, evoking hope and confidence to support self-efficacy, recognizing signs of readiness to change, and asking

key questions.

##### *Evoking change talk*

Engaging the client in the process of change is the fundamental task of Ml. Rather than identifying the problem and promoting ways to solve it, your task is to help clients recognize that their use of substances may be contributing to their distress and that they have a choice about howto move

forward in life in ways that enhance their health and well-being. **One signal that clients' ambivalence about change is decreasing is when they start to express change talk.**

**The first step to evoking change talk is to ask open questions.** There are seven kinds of change talk, reflected in the DARN acronym. DARN questions can help you generate open questions that evoke change talk. Exhibit 3.8 provides examples of open questions that elicit change talk in preparation for taking steps to change.

### EXHIBIT 3.8. Examples of Open Questions to Evoke Change Talk Using DARN

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Desire** | "How would you **like** for things to change?"  "What do you **hope** our work together will accomplish?" "What don't you **like** about how things are now?"  "What don't you **like** about the effects of drinking or drug use?" "What do you **wish** for your relationship with  "How do you **want** your life to be different a year from now?"  "What areyou **looking for** from this program?" |
| **Ability** | "If you decided to quit drinking, how **could** you do it?" "What do you think you might be **able** to change?" "What ideas do you have for how you **could** ?"  "What **encourages** you that you could **change** if you decided to?"  "How **confident** are you that youcould if you made up your mind?" "Of the different options you've considered, what seems most **possible?"** "How likely are you to be **able** to ?" |
| **Reasons** | "What are some of the reasons you have for making this change?" "Why would you want to stop or cut back on your use of ?" "What's the downside of the way things are now?"  "What might bethe good things about quitting ?" "What would make it worthwhile for you to ?" "What might be some of the advantages of  "What might bethe three best reasons for ?" |
| **Need** | "What **needs** to happen?"  "How **important** is it for you to ?"  "What makes you think that you might **need** to make a change?" "How **serious or urgent** does this feel to you?"  "What do you think **has** to change?" |


*Source: Miller* & *Roi/nick, 2073. Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change (3rd ed.}, pp.* 777 - 773 .

*Adapted with permission from Guilford Press.*

**Other strategies for evoking change talk** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013) include:

* **Eliciting importance of change.** Ask an open question that elicits "Need" change talk (Exhibit 3.8): "How important is it for you to [name the change in the target behavior, such as cutting back on drinking]?" You can also use scaling questions such as those in the Importance Ruler in Exhibit 3.9 to help the client explore change talk about need more fully.

### EXHIBIT 3.9. The Importance Ruler



**Not Important Extremely Important**

* Initial question : "On a scale of Oto 70, how important is it for you to change *[name the target behavior, like how much the client drinks}* if you decided to?"
* Follow-up question l: "How are you at a *{fill in the number on the scale}* instead of a *{choose a lower number on the scale}?"* When you use a lower number, you are inviting the client to reflect on how he or she is already considering change. If you use a higher num ber, it will likely evoke sustain talk {Miller & Rollnick, 2073). Notice the difference in the following examp les:

##### *Lower number*

* + **Counselor:** You mention that you are at a 6 on the importance of quitting drinking . How are you at a 6 instead of a 3?
  + **Client:** I'm realizing that drinking causes more problems in my life now than when I was young er.

##### *Higher number*

* + **Counselor:** You mention that you are at a 6 on the importance of quitting drinking . How are you at a 6 instead of a 9?
  + **Client:** Well, I am just not ready to quit right this second .

In the higher number example, the counselor evokes sustain talk, but it is still useful information and can be the beginning of a deep conversation about the client's readiness to change.

* Follow-up question 2: "What would help move from a ***[fill in the number on the scale]*** to a *[choose a slightly higher number on the sca le]?"* This question invites the client to reflect on reasons to increase readiness to change .
* **Exploring extremes.** Ask the client to identify the extremes of the problem; this enhances his or her motivation. For example: "What concerns you the most about [name the target behavior, like using cocaine]?"
* **Looking back.** To point out discrepancies and evoke change talk, ask the client about what it was like before experiencing substance use

problems, and compare that response with what it is like now. For example: "What was it like before you started using heroin?"

* **Looking forward.** Ask the client to envision what he or she would like for the future. This can elicit change talk and identify goals to work toward. For example: "If you decided to

[describe the change in target behavior, such as quit smoking], how do you think your life would be different a month, a year, or 5 years from now?"



Chapter 3-Motivational Interviewing as a Counseling Style

**TIP 35**

Reinforce change talk by reflecting it back verbally, nodding, or making approving facial expressions and affirming statements. Encourage the client to continue exploring the possibility of change by asking for elaboration, explicit examples, or details about remaining concerns. Questions that begin with "What else" effectively invite elaboration.

**Your task is to evoke change talk and selectively reinforce it via reflective listening.** The amount of change talk versus sustain talk is linked to

client behavior change and positive substance use outcomes (Houck et al., 2018; Lindqvist et al., 2017; Magill et al., 2014).

***Responding* to *change talk and sustain talk***

**Your focus should be on evoking change talk and minimizing sustain talk .** Sustain talk expresses the side of ambivalence that favors continuing one's pattern of substance use. Don't argue with the client's sustain talk, and don't try to persuade the client to take the change side of ambivalence.

**There are many ways to respond to sustain talk that acknowledge it without getting stuck in it. You can use** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Simple reflections.** Acknowledge sustain talk with a simple reflective listening response.

This validates what the client has said and sometimes elicits change talk. Give the client an opportunity to respond before moving on.

* + **Client:** I don't plan to quit drinking anytime soon.
  + **Counselor:** You don't think that abstinence would work for you right now.
* **Amplified reflections.** Accurately reflect the client's statement but with emphasis (and without sarcasm). An amplified reflection overstates the client's point of view, which can nudge the client to take the other side of ambivalence (i.e., change talk).
  + **Client:** But I can't quit smoking pot. All my friends smoke pot.
  + **Counselor:** So you really can't quit because you'd be too different from your friends.
* **Double-sided reflections.** A double-sided reflection acknowledges sustain talk, then pairs it with change talk either in the same client statement or in a previous statement.

It acknowledges the client's ambivalence yet selectively reinforces change talk. Use "and" to join the two statements and make change talk the second statement (see Counselor Response in Exhibit 3.6).

* + **Client:** I know I should quit smoking now that I am pregnant. But I tried to go cold turkey before, and it was just too hard.
  + **Counselor:** You're worried that you won't be able to quit all at once, and you want your baby to be born healthy.
* **Agreements with a twist.** A subtle strategy is to agree, but with a slight twist or change of direction that moves the discussion forward.

The twist should be said without emphasis or sarcasm.

* + **Client:** I can't imagine what I would do if I stopped drinking. It's part of who I am. How could I go to the bar and hang out with

my friends?

* + **Counselor:** You just wouldn't be you without drinking. You have to keep drinking no matter how it effects your health.
* **Reframing.** Reframing acknowledges the client's experience yet suggests alternative meanings. It invites the client to consider a different perspective (Barnett, Spruijt-Metz, et

a l. , 2014). Reframing is also a way to refocus the conversation from emphasizing sustain talk to eliciting change talk (Barnett, Spruijt-Metz, et al., 2014).

* + **Client:** My husband always nags me about my drinking and calls me an alcoholic. It bugs me .
  + **Counselor:** Although your husband expresses it in a way that frustrates you, he really cares and is concerned about the drinking.
* **A shift in focus.** Defuse discord and tension by shifting the conversational focus.
  + **Client:** The way you're talking, you think I'm an alcoholic, don't you?
  + **Counselor:** Labels aren't important to me. What I care about is how to best help you.
* Emphasis on personal autonomy. Emphasizing that people have choices (even if all the choices have a downside) reinforces personal autonomy and opens up the possibility for clients to choose change instead of the status quo. When you make these statements, remember to use a neutral, nonjudgmental tone, without sarcasm. **A** dismissive tone can evoke strong reactions from the client.
  + **Client:** I am really not interested in giving up drinking completely.
  + **Counselor:** It's really up to you. No one can make that decision for you.

All of these strategies have one thing in common: They are delivered in the spirit of Ml.

*Developing discrepancy: A values* conversation

Developing discrepancy has been a key element of Ml since its incept ion. It was originally one of the four principles of Ml. In the current version, exploring the discrepancy between clients' values and their substance use behavior has been folded into the evoking process. When clients recognize discrepancies in their values, goals, and hopes for the future, their motivation to change increases.

**Your task is to help clients focus on how their behavior conflicts with their values and goals.** The focus is on intrinsic motivation. **Ml** doesn't work if you focus only on how clients' substance use behavior is in conflict with external pressure (e.g., family, an employer, the court) (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

To facilitate discrepancy, have a values conversation to explore what is important to the client (e.g., good heath, positive relationships with family, being a responsible member of the community, preventing another hospitalization, staying out

of jail), then highlight the conflict the client feels between his or her substance use behaviors and those values. Client experience of discrepancy between values and substance use behavior is related to better client outcomes (Apodaca & Longabaugh, 2009).

This process can raise uncomfortable feelings like guilt or shame. Frame the conversation by conveying acceptance, compassion, and

affirmation. The paradox of acceptance is that it helps people tolerate more discrepancy and, instead of avoiding that tension, propels them

toward change (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). However, too much discrepancy may overwhelm the client and cause him or her to think change is not possible (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

**To help a client perceive discrepancy, you can use what is sometimes termed the "Columbo approach."** Initially developed by Kanfer & Schefft (1988), this approach remains a staple of Ml and

is particularly useful with a client who is in the

Precontemplation stage and needs to be in charge of the conversation. Essentially, the counselor expresses understanding and continuously seeks

clarification of the client's problem but appears unable to perceive any solution.

**EXPERT COMMENT: THE COLUMBO APPROACH**

Sometimes I use what I refer to as the Columbo approach to develop discrepancy with clients. In the old Columbo television series, Peter Falk played a detective named Columbo who had a sense of what had really occurred but used a somewhat bumbling, unassuming, Socratic style of querying his prime

suspect, strategically posing questions and making reflections to piece together a picture of what really happened . As the pieces began to fall into place, the object of Columbo's investigation would often reveal the real story.

The counselor plays the role of a detective who is trying to solve a mystery but is having a difficult time because the clues don't add up. The"Columbo counselor" engages the client in solving the mystery:

**Example #1:** "Hmm. Help me figure this out. You've told me that keeping custody of your daughter and being a good parent are the most important things to you now. How does your heroin use fit in with that?"

**Example #2:** "So, sometimes when you drink during the week, you can't get out of bed to get to work. Last month, you missed 5 days. But you enjoy your work, and doing well in your job is very important to you."

In both cases, the counselor expresses confusion, which allows the client to take over and explain how these conflicting desires fit together.

The value of the Columbo approach is that it forces the client, rather than the counselor, to grapple with discrepancies and attempt to resolve them. This approach reinforces the notion that the client is the expert on his or her behavior and values. The client is truly the only one who can resolve the discrepancy. If the counselor attempts to do this instead of the client, the counselor risks making the wrong interpretation, rushing to the client to conclusions rather than listening to the client's perspective, and, perhaps most important, making the client a passive rather than an active participant in the process.

*Cheryl Grills, Ph.D ., Consensus Panel Member*



**TIP 35**

Enhancing Motivation for Change in Substance Use Disorder Treatment

In addition to providing personalized feedback (as discussed in Chapter 2), **you can facilitate discrepancy by** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Identifying personal values.** For clients to feel discrepancy between their values and actions, they need to recognize what those

values are. Some clients may have only a vague understanding of their values or goals. A tool to help you and clients explore values is the Values Card Sort.

* Print different values like "Achievement-to have important accomplishments" (Miller & Rollnick, 2013, p. 80) on individual cards.
* Invite clients to sort the cards into piles by importance; those that are most important are place in one pile, and those that are least important are in another pile.
* Ask clients to pick up to 10 cards from the most important pile; converse about each one.
* Use OARS to facilitate the co nve rs at io ns .
* Pay attention to statements about discrepancy between these important values and clients' substance use behaviors, and reinforce these statements.
* A downloadable, public domain version of the Value Card Sort activity is available online (www.mot ivat io nali nte rv ie wing.o rg/s ites/ default/files/valuescardsort 0.pdf).
* **Providing information.** Avoid being the expert and treating clients as passive recipients

when giving information about the negative physical, emotional, mental, social, or spiritual effects or consequences of substance misuse. Instead, engage the client in a process of mutual exchange. This process is called Elicit­ Provide-Elicit **(EPE)** and has three steps (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Elicit readiness or interest in the information.** Don't assume that clients are interested in hearing the information you want to offer; start by asking permission. For example: "Would it be okay if I shared some information with you about the health risks of using heroin?" Don't assume that clients lack this kno wle dg e. Ask what they already know about the risks of using heroin. For example: "What would you most like to know about the health risks of heroin use?"

**Provide information neutrally (i.e., without judgement).** Prioritize what clients have said they would most like to know. Fill in knowledge gaps. Present the information clearly and in small chunks . Too much information can overwhelm clients. Invite them to ask more questions about the information you're providing.

* **Elicit clients' understanding of the information.** Don't assume that you know how clients will react to the information you have provided. Ask questions:
  + "So, what do you make of this information?"
  + "What do you think about that?"
  + "How does this information impact the way you might be thinking about

*[name the substance* use *behavior, such*

as *drinking]?"*

- Allow clients plenty of time to consider and reflect on the information you presented.

Invite them to ask questions for clarification. Follow clients' responses to your open questions with reflective listening statements that emphasize change talk whenever you hear it . **EPE is an Ml strategy to facilitate identifying discrepancy and is an effective and respectful way to give advice to clients about behavior change strategies during the planning process.**



Chapter 3-Motivational Interviewing as a Counseling Style

**TIP 35**

* **Exploring others' concerns.** Another way to build discrepancy is to explore the clients' understanding of the concerns other people have expressed about their substance use. This differs from focusing on the external pressure that a family member, an employer, or the criminal justice system may be putting on clients to reduce or abstain from substance use. The purpose is to invite clients to explore the impact of substance use behaviors on the people with whom they are emotionally connected in a nonthreatening way. Approach this conversation from a place of genuine curiosity and even a

bit of confusion (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Here is a brief example of what this conversation might look like using an open question about a significant other's concern, where reflecting sustain talk actually has the effect of eliciting change talk:

* + **Counselor:** You mentioned that your husband is concerned about your drinking. What do you think concerns him? *(Open questio n)*
  + **Client:** He worries about everything. The other day, he got really upset because I drove a block home from a friend's house after a p art y. He shouldn't worry so much. *(Sustain talk)*
  + **Counselor:** He's worried that you could crash and hurt yourself or someone else or get arrested for driving under the influence. But you think his concern is overblown. *(Complex reflection)*
  + **Client:** I can see he may have a point. I really shouldn't drive after drinking. *(Change talk)*

*Evoking hope and confidence* to *support* self-efficacy

Many clients do not have a well-developed sense of self-efficacy. They find it hard to believe that they can begin or maintain behavior change.

**Improving self-efficacy requires eliciting confidence, hope, and optimism that change, in general, is possible and that clients, specifically,**

**can change.** This positive impact on self-efficacy may be one of the ways Ml promotes behavior change (Chariyeva et al., 2013).

**One of the most consistent predictors of positive client behavior change is "ability" change talk** (Romano & Peters, 2016). Unless a client believes change is possible, the perceived discrepancy between desire for change and feelings of hopelessness about accomplishing change is likely to result in continued sustain talk and no change.

When clients express confidence in their ability to change, they are more likely to engage in behavior change (Romano & Peters, 2016).

Self-efficacy is a p erson's confidence in his or her ability to change a behavior (Miller &

Rollnick, 2013), such as a behavior that risks one's health. Research has found that Ml is effective


in enhancing a client's self-efficacy and positive outcomes including treatment completion, lower substance use at the end of treatment, greater desire to quit cannabis use, and reductions in risky sexual behavior for someone with HIV (Caviness et al., 2013; Chariyeva et al., 2013; Dufett, & Ward, 2015; Moore, Flamez,, & Szirony, 2017).

**COUNSELOR NOTE: SELF EFFICACY**

Because self-efficacy is a critical component of behavior change, it is crucial that you also believe in clients' capacity to reach their goals. You can help clients strengthen hope and confidence

in Ml by evoking confidence talk. Here are two strategies for evoking confidence talk (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Use the Confidence Ruler** (Exhibit 3.10) and scaling questions to assess clients' confidence level and evoke confidence talk.



**EXHIBIT 3.10. The Confidence Ruler**

**Not Confident**

**Extremely Confident**

* Initial questio n: "On a scale of Oto 10, how confident areyou that you could change *[name the target behavior, like stop drinking]* if you decided to?"
* Follow-up question s:
  + "How are you at a *[fill in the number on the scale]* instead of a *[choose a lower number on the scale]?"* Using a lower number helps clients reflect on how far they've come on the confidence scale. Using a higher number with this question may discourage clients, which can elicit sustain talk. If that should happen, use strategies discussed previously for responding to sustain talk.
  + "What would help you get from a *[fill in the number on the scale]* to a *[choose a slightly higher number on the scale]?"* This open question invites clients to reflect on strategies to build confidence. Don't jump to a much higher number, which can overwhelm clients and lower confidence.

Whatever the client's response to these scaling questions, use it as an opportunity to begin a

conversation about his or her confidence or perceived ability to move forward in the change process.

* **Ask open questions** that evoke client strengths and abilities. Follow the open questions

with reflective listening res ponses . Here are some examples of open questions that elicit confidence talk:

* "Knowing yourself as well as you do, how do you think you could [name the target behavior change, like cutting back on smoking marijuana]?"
* "How have you made difficult changes in the past?"
* "How could you apply what you learned then to this situation?"
* "What gives you confidence that you could [name the target behavior change, like stopping cocaine use]?"

In addition, **you can help enhance clients' hope and confidence about change by:**

* Exploring clients' strengths and brainstorming how to apply those strengths to the

current situation.

* Giving information via EPE about the efficacy of treatment to increase clients' sense of

self-efficacy.

* Discussing what worked and didn't work in previous treatment episodes and offering change options based on what worked before.
* Describing how people in similar situations have successfully changed their behavior. Other

clients in treatment can serve as role models and offer encouragement.

* Offering some cognitive tools, like the AA slogan "One day at a time" or "Keep it simple" to break down an overwhelming task into smaller changes that may be more manageable.
* Educating clients about the biology of addiction and the medical effects of substance use to alleviate shame and instill hope that recovery

is possible.

Engaging, focusing, and evoking set the stage for mobilizing action to change. During these Ml

processes, your task is to evoke DARN change talk. This moves the client along toward taking action to change substance use behaviors. At this point, your task is to evoke and respond to CAT change talk.

***Recognizing signs of readiness* to *change*** As you evoke and respond to DARN change talk, you will begin to observe these signs of readiness to change in the client's statements (Miller &

Rollnick, 2013):

* **Increased change talk:** As DARN change talk increases, commitment and activation change talk begin to be expressed. The client may show optimism about change and an intention to change.
* **Decreased sustain talk:** As change talk increases, sustain talk decreases. When change talk overtakes sustain talk, it is a sign that the client is moving toward change.
* **Resolve:** The client seems more relaxed. The client talks less about the problem, and sometimes expresses a sense of resolution.
* **Questions about change:** The client asks what to do about the problem, how people change if they want to, and so forth. For example: "What do people do to get off pain pills?"
* **Envisioning:** The client begins to talk about life after a change, anticipate difficulties, or discuss the advantages of change. Envisioning requires imagining something different-not necessarily how to get to that something different, but simply imagining how things could be different.
* **Taking steps:** The client begins to experiment with small steps toward change (e.g., going to an AA meeting, going without drinking for a few days, reading a self-help book). Affirming small change steps helps the client build self-efficacy and confidence.

When you notice these signs of readiness to change, it is a good time to offer the client a recapitulation summary in which you restate his or her change talk and minimize reflections of sustain talk. **The recapitulation summary is a good way to transition into asking key questions** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

*Asking key questions*

**To help a client move from preparing to mobilizing for change, ask key questions** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* "What do you think you will do about your drinking?"
* "After reviewing the situation, what's the next step for you?"
* "What do you want to do about your drug use?"
* "What can you do about your smoking?"
* "Where do you go from here?"
* "What you might do next?"

When the client responds with change talk (e.g., "I intend to stop using heroin"), you can move forward to the planning process. If the client responds with sustain talk (e.g., "It would be too hard for me to quit using heroin right now"), you

should go back to the evoking process. Remember that change is not a linear process for most people.

**Do not jump into the planning process if the client expresses enough sustain talk to indicate not being ready to take the next step.** The ambivalence about taking the next step may be uncertainty about giving up the substance use behavior or a lack of confidence about being able to make the change.

#### Planning

**Your task in the process is to help the client develop a change plan that is acceptable, accessible, and appropriate.** Once a client decides to change a substance use behavior, he or she

may already have ideas about how to make that change. For example, a client may have previously stopped smoking cannabis and already knows what worked in the past. Your task is to simply reinforce the client's plan.

Don't assume that all clients need a structured method to develop a change plan. Many people can make significant lifestyle changes and initiate recovery from SUDs without formal assistance (Kelly, Bergman, Hoeppner, Vilsaint, & White, 2017). **For clients who need help developing**

**a change plan, remember to continue using Ml techniques and OARS to move the process**

**from why change and what to change to *how* to change** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). A change plan is like a treatment plan but broader (e.g., going to an addiction treatment program may be part of a change plan), and the client, rather than you or the treatment program, is the driver of the planning process (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

##### *Identifying a change goal*

**Part of planning is working with the client to identify or clarify a change goal.** At this point, the client may have identified a change goal. For example, when you ask a key question such as "What do you want to do about the drinking?" the

client might say, "I want to cut back to two drinks a day on weekends." In this situation, the focus shifts to developing a plan with specific steps the client might take to reach the change goal. If the client is vague about a change goal and says, "I really need to do something about my drinking," the first step is to help the client clarify the change goal.

Here is an example of a dialog that helps the client get more specific:

* **Counselor:** You are committed to making some changes to your drinking. *(Reflection)* What would that look like? *(Open question)*
* **Client:** Well, I tried to cut back to one drink a day, but all I could think about was going to the bar and getting drunk. I cut back for 2 days but did end up back at the bar, and then it just got worse from there. At this point, I don't think I can just cut back.
* **Counselor:** You made a good-faith effort to control the drinking and learned a lot from that experiment. *(Affirmation)* You now think that cutting back is probably not a good strategy for you. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah. It's time to quit. But I'm not sure I can do that on my own.
* **Counselor:** You're ready to quit drinking completely and realize that you could use some help with making that kind of change. *(Reflection)*
* **Client:** Yeah. It's time to give it up.
* **Counselor:** Let's review the conversation,

*(Summarization)* and then talk about next steps.

The counselor uses OARS to help the client clarify the change goal. The counselor also hears that the client lacks confidence that he or she can achieve the change goal and reinforces the client's desire for some help in making the change. The next step with this client is to develop a change plan.

##### *Developing a change plan*

**Begin with the change goal identified by the client; then, explore specific steps the client can take to achieve it.** In the planning process, use OARS and pay attention to CAT change talk. As you proceed, carefully note the shift from change talk that is more general to change talk that is specific to the change plan (Miller &

Rollnick, 2013). (See Chapter 6 for information on a

developing a change plan.) Some evidence shows that change talk is related to the completion of a change plan (Roman & Peters, 2016).

**Here are some strategies for helping clients develop a change plan** (Miller & Rollnick, 2013):

* **Confirm the change goal.** Make sure that you and the client agree on what substance use behavior the client wants to change and

what the ultimate goal is (i.e., to cut back or to abstain). This goal might change as the client takes steps to achieve it. For example, a client who tries to cut back on cannabis use may

find that that it is not a workable plan and may decide to abstain completely.

* **Elicit the client's ideas about how to change.** There may be many different pathways to achieve the desired goal. For example, a client whose goal is to stop drinking may go to AA or SMART Recovery meetings for support, get a prescription for naltrexone (a medication that reduces craving and the pleasurable effects

of alcohol [Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration & National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2015]) from a primary care provider, enter an intensive outpatient treatment program, or try some combination of these. Before you

jump in with your ideas, elicit the client's ideas about strategies to make the change. Explore pros and cons of the client's ideas; determine which appeals to the client most and is most appropriate for this client.



**Chapter 3-Motivational Interviewing as** a **Counseling Style**

**TIP 35**

* **Offer a menu of options.** Use the EPE process (see the section "Developing disc re pancy : A

his or her commitment more clearly: "What would help you strengthen your commitment to

values conversation" above) to ask permission *[name the step* or *ultimate*

to offer suggestions about accessible treatment options, provide information about those options, and elicit the client's understanding of options and which ones seem acceptable.

* **Summarize the change plan.** Once you and the client have a clear plan, summarize the plan and the specific steps or pathways the client has identified. Listen for CAT change talk, and reinforce it through reflective listening.
* **Explore obstacles.** Once the client applies the change plan to his or her l ife , there will inevitably be setbacks. Try to anticipate potential obstacles and how the client might respond to them before the client takes steps to implement the plan. Then reevaluate the change plan, and help the client tweak it using

the information about what did and didn't work from prior attempts.

**Strengthening Commitment to Change**

The planning process is just the beginning of change. Clients must commit to the plan and show that commitment by taking action. There is some evidence that client commitment change talk is associated with positive AUD outcomes (Romano & Peters, 2016). One study found that counselor efforts to elicit client commitment to change alcohol use is associated with reduced

alcohol consumption and increased abstinence for clients in outpatient treatment (Magill, Stout, & Apodoaca, 2013).

**Usually, people express an intention to make a change before they make a firm commitment to taking action.** You can evoke the client's intention to take action by asking open questions: "What are you **willing** to do this week?" or "What specific steps of the change plan are you **ready** to take?" (Miller & Roll nick, 2013). Remember that the client may have an end goal (e . g . , to quit drinking) and intermediate action steps to achieving that goal (e.g., filling a naltrexone prescription, going to an AA meeting).

Once the client has expressed an intention to change, elicit commitment change talk. Try asking an open question that invites the client to explore

*goal* for *change,* for *example, getting that prescription* from *your doctor* for *naltrexoneJ?"* (Miller & Rollnick, 2013).

**Other strategies to strengthen commitment to action steps and change goals include (Miller** & **Rollnick, 2013):**

* Exploring any ambivalence clients have about change goals or specific elements of change plans.
* Reinforcing CAT change talk through reflective listening.
* Inviting clients to state their commitment to their significant ot he rs .
* Asking clients to self-monitor by recording progress toward change goals (e . g . , with a drinking log).
* Exploring, with clients' consent, whether supportive significant others can help with me dic at io n adherence or other activities that reinforce commitment (e.g., getting to AA me e t in gs).

The change plan process lends itself to using other counseling methods like CBT and MET. For example, you can encourage clients to monitor their thoughts and feelings in high-risk situations where they are more likely to return to

substance use or misuse. Chapter 7 provides more information on relapse pre ve nt io n. No matter what counseling strategies you use, keep to the spirit of Ml by working wit h clients and honoring and respecting their right to and capacity for

se lf-d i re ctio n.

# Benefits of Ml in Treating SUDs

The number of research studies on Ml has doubled about every 3 years from 1999 to 2013 (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Many studies were randomized clinical trials reflecting a range of clinical populations, types of problems, provider settings, types of SUDs, and co-occurring substance use and mental disorders (Smedslund et al., 2011).

Although some studies report mixed results, the overall scientific evidence suggests that Ml is



**TIP 35**

Enhancing Motivation for Change in Substance Use Disorder Treatment

associated with small to strong (and significant) effects for positive substance use behavioral outcomes compared with no treatment. Ml is as effective as other counseling approaches (DiClemente et al., 2017). A research review found strong, significant support for Ml and

combined Ml/MET in client outcomes for alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis and some support for its use in treating cocaine and combined illicit drug use disorders (DiCle me nte et al., 2017). Positive outcomes included reduced alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis use; fewer alcohol-related problems;

and improved client engagement and retention (DiClemente et al., 2017). Ml and combined Ml/ MET were effective with adolescents, young adults, college students, adults, and pregnant women.

Counselor adherence to Ml skills is important for producing client outcomes (Apodaca et al., 2016; Magill et al., 2013). For instance, using open questions, simple and complex re fle ctive

listening responses, and affirmations is associated with change talk (Apodaca et al., 2016; Romano & Peters, 2016). Open questions and reflective listening responses can elicit sustain talk when counselors explore ambivalence with clients

(Apodaca et al., 2016). However, growing evidence suggests that the amount and strength of client change talk versus sustain talk in counseling sessions are key components of Ml associated with behavior change (Gaume et al., 2016; Houck et al., 2018; Lindqvist et al., 2017; Magill et al., 2014).

Other benefits of Ml include (Miller &

Rollnick, 2013):

* **Cost effectiveness.** Ml can be delivered in brief interventions like SBIRT (screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment) and FRAMES (Feedback, Responsibility, Advice, Menu of options, Empathy, and Self-efficacy, see Chapter 2), which makes it cost effective. In addition, including significant others in Ml interventions is also cost effective (Shepard et al., 2016).
* **Ease of use.** Ml has been adapted and integrated into many settings, including primary care facilities, emergency departments, behavioral health centers, and criminal justice

and social service ag e nc ies . It is useful anywhere that focuses on helping people manage substance misuse and SUDs.

* **Broad dissemination. Ml** has been disseminated throughout the United States and internationally.
* **Applicability to diverse health and behavioral health problems.** Beyond substance use behaviors, Ml has demonstrated benefits across a wide range of behavior change goals.
* **Effectiveness.** Positive effects from Ml counseling occur across a range of real-life clinical sett in gs.
* **Ability to complement other treatment approaches.** Ml fits well with other counseling approaches, such as CBT. It can enhance client motivation to engage in specialized ad dict io n treatment services and stay in and adhere

to tre at me nt .

* **Ease of adoption by a range of providers.** Ml can be implemented by primary care and behavioral health professionals, peer

providers, criminal justice personnel, and various other professionals.

* **Role in mobilizing client resources.** Ml is based on person-centered counseling principles. It focuses on mobilizing the client's own resources for change. It is consistent with the healthcare model of helping people learn to self-manage chronic illnesses like diabetes and heart disease.

**Conclusion**

Ml is a directed, person-centered counseling style that is effective in helping clients change their substance use behaviors. When delivered in the spirit of Ml, the core skills of asking open questions, affirming, using reflective listening, and summarizing enhance client motivation

and readiness to change. Counselor empathy, shown through reflective listening and evoking change talk, is another important element of Mi's effectiveness and is associated with positive client outcomes. Ml has been adapted for use in brief interventions and across a wide range of clinical settings and client populations. It is compatible with other counseling models and theories of change, including CBT and the SOC.



**TIP 35**

**ENHANCING MOTIVATION FOR CHANGE IN SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER TREATMENT**

**Chapter 4-From Precontemplation to Contemplation: Building Readiness**

###### The task for individuals in Precontemplation is to become conscious of and concerned about the current pattern of behavior and/or interested in a new behavior. From a change perspective, it is more important to recognize an individual's current views on change and address her or his reasons for not wanting to change than it is to understand how the status quo came to be."

*I I*

- D iCle m ent e, 2078 , p . 29

use or may be concerned but aren't considering change are in Precontemplation. They may remain there or in the early Contemplation stage for years, rarely or possibly never thinking about change.

* In the Precontemplation stage, clients do not recognize that they have a problem with

substance use or they recognize the problem but are not ready to change their substance use behaviors.

* Counselors should be nonjudgmental about clients' low motivation to change and instead focus on building a strong working alliance.
* A key strategy to helping clients move from the Precontemplation stage to contemplating change is to raise their level of concern and awareness of the risk associated with their current substance use behaviors.
* Involving family members and significant others (S0s) can increase clients' concern about substance use.

**KEY MESSAGES**

Chapter 4 discusses strategies you can use to help clients raise doubt and concern about their substance use and related health, social,

emotional, mental, financial, and legal problems. It highlights areas of focus and key counseling strategies that will help clients move from the Precontemplation stage to Contemplation. This chapter also addresses issues that may arise for clients mandated to treatment.

In the Stages of Change (SOC) model, clients who are unconcerned about their current substance

**You can take advantage of many opportunities and scenarios to help someone who is misusing substances start on a journey toward change-to** move from Preco nt emp lat io n to Contemplation.

A client in Precontemplation is often moved to enter the cycle of change by extrinsic sources of motivation. The following situations might lead a person who is misusing a substance to treatment:

* A college coach refers an athlete for treatment after he tests positive for cocaine use.
* A wife worries about her husband's drinking and insists she'll file for divorce unless he gets treatment.
* A tenant is displaced from a federal housing project because of his substance use.
* A driver is referred for treatment by the court for driving while intoxicated.
* A woman tests positive for substances during a prenatal visit to a public health clinic.
* An employer sends an employee whose job performance has declined to the company's employee assistance program, and the employee is subsequently referred for substance use treatment.
* A physician in an emergency department treats a driver involved in a serious automobile crash and discovers alcohol in his system.

**Appendix A-Bibliography**

Ager, R., Roahen-Harrison, S., Toriello, P. J., Kissinger, P., Morse, P., Morse, E., ... Rice, J. (2010). Predictors of adopting motivational enhancement therapy.

Research *on Social Work Practice,* 21(1), 65-76.

doi:10.1177/1049731509353170

Ainscough, T. S., McNeil!, A., Strang, J., Calder, R., & Brose,

L. S. (2017). Contingency management interventions for non-prescribed drug use during treatment for opiate addiction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug *and Alcohol* Dependence, *178,* 318-339.

Alcoholics Anonymous. (2001 ). *Alcoholics Anonymous: The story of how* many *thousands of men and* women *have recovered* from *alcoholism* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Alcoholics Anonymous World Services.

Aldridge, A., Dowd, W., & Bray, J. (2017). The relative impact of brief treatment versus brief intervention in primary health-care screening programs for substance use disorders. *Addiction, 112,* 54-64. doi:10.1111 /add.13653

Aldridge, A., Linford, R., & Bray, J. (2017). Substance use outcomes of patients served by a large U.S. implementation of screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT). *Addiction, 112,* 43-53.

Alperstein, D., & Sharpe, L. (2016). The efficacy of motivational interviewing in adults with chronic pain: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Journal of Pain,* 17(4), 393-403. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2015.10.021

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Amodeo, M. (2015). The addictive personality. *Substance Use and Misuse,* 50(8-9), 1031-1036. doi:10.3109/108260

84.2015.1007646

Anderson, T., Crowley, M. E., Himawan, L., Holmberg, J. **K.,** & Uhlin, B. D. (2016). Therapist facilitative interpersonal skills and training status: A randomized clinical trial on alliance and outcome. *Psychotherapy Research,* 26(5), 511-529. doi:10.1080/10503307.2015.1049671

Apodaca, T. R., Borsari, B., Jackson, K. M., Magill, M., Longabaugh, R., Mastroleo, N. R., & Barnett, N. P. (2014). Sustain talk predicts poorer outcomes among mandated college student drinkers receiving a brief motivational intervention. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 28(3), 631-638. doi:10.1037/a0037296

Apodaca, T. R., Jackson, **K. M.,** Borsari, B., Magill, **M.,** Longabaugh, R., Mastroleo, **N.** R., & Barnett, **N.** P. (2016). Which individual therapist behaviors elicit client change talk and sustain talk in motivational interviewing? *Journal of Substance Abuse* Treatment, *61,* 60-65. doi:10.1016/j. jsat.2015.09.001

Apodaca, T. R., & Longabaugh, R. (2009). Mechanisms of change in motivational interviewing: A review and

preliminary evaluation of the evidence. *Addiction,*104(5), 705-715. doi:10.1111 /j.1360-0443.2009.02527.x

Apodaca, T. R., Magill, M., Longabaugh, R., Jackson, **K. M.,** & Monti, P. M. (2013). Effect of a significant other on client change talk in motivational interviewing. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,81(1*), 35-46. doi:10.1037/a0030881

Appiah-Brempong, E., Okyere, P., Owusu-Addo, E., & Cross, R. (2014). Motivational interviewing interventions and alcohol abuse among college students: A systematic review. *American Journal of Health Promotion,* 29(1 ). doi:10.4278/ajhp.130502-lit-222

Arkowitz, H., Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2015). *Motivational interviewing in the* treatment *of psychological problems* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Publications.

Baber, T. F., Del Boca, F. D., & Bray, J. W. (2017). Screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment: Implications of SAMHSA's SBIRT initiative for substance abuse policy and practice. *Addiction, 112,* 110-117. doi:10.1111/ add.13675

Baber, T. F., Higgins-Biddle, J. C., & Robaina, K. (2016). USAUDIT: *The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test, Adapted* for *use in the United States: A guide* for *primary* care *practitioners.* Retrieved from [www.ct .gov/d mhas/ lib /](http://www.ct.gov/dmhas/lib/)

d mhas / p ub lic at io ns/ US AU DIT-2017 .pdf

Baber, T. F., Higgins-Biddle, J.C., Saunders, J. B., & Monteiro, M. G. (2001 ). *The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines* for use *in primary* care (2nd ed.). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.

Bachiller, D., Grau-Lopez, L., Barral, C., Daigre, C., Alberich, C., Rodriguez-Cintas, L., ... Roncero C. (2015). Motivational interviewing group at inpatient

detoxification: Its influence in maintaining abstinence and treatment retention after discharge. *Adicciones,* 27(2), 109-118.

Baker, A. L., Kavanagh, D. J., Kay-Lambkin, F. J., Hunt, S. A., Lewin, T. J., Carr, V. J., & McElduff, P. (2014). Randomized controlled trial of MICBT for co-existing alcohol misuse and depression: Outcomes to 36-months. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 46(3), 281-290.

Baker, A. L., Thornton, L. K., Hiles, S., Hides, L., & Lubman,

D. I. (2012). Psychological interventions for alcohol misuse among people with co-occurring depression or anxiety disorders: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 139(3),* 217-229. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.08.004

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review,* 84, 191-215.

Barata, I. A., Shandro, J. R., Montgomery, M., Polansky, R., Sachs, C. J., Duber, H. C., ... Macias-Konstantopoulos, W. (2017). Effectiveness of SBIRT for alcohol use disorders in the emergency department: A systematic review. Western *Journal of Emergency Medicine,* 18(6), 1143-1152.

Barbosa, C., Cowell, A., Dowd, W., Landwehr, J., Aldridge, A., & Bray, J. (2017). The cost-effectiveness of brief intervention versus brief treatment of screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT) in the United States. *Addiction, 112,* 73-81. doi:10.1111/ add.13658

Barnes, R. D., & lvezaj, V. (2015). A systematic review of motivational interviewing for weight loss among adults in primary care. *Obesity Reviews, 16(4),* 304-318. doi:10.1111 /obr.12264

Barnett, E., Moyers, T. B., Sussman, S., Smith, C., Rohrbach, L.A., Sun, P., & Spruijt-Metz, D. (2014). From counselor skill to decreased marijuana use: Does change talk matter? *Journal of* Substance *Abuse Treatment,* 46,

498-505.

Barnett, E., Spruijt-Metz, D., Moyers, T. B., Smith, C., Rohrbach, L.A., Sun, P., & Sussman, S. (2014).

Bidirectional relationships between client and counselor speech: The importance of reframing. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 28(4), 1212-1219. doi:10.1037 / a0036227

Barrio, P., & Gual, A. (2016). Patient-centered care interventions for the management of alcohol use disorders: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Patient Preference and Adherence, 10,* 1823-1845. doi:10.2147 /PPA.S109641

Bassuk, E. L., Hanson, J., Greene, R. **N.,** Richard, **M.,** & Laudet, A. (2016). Peer-delivered recovery support services for addictions in the United States: **A** systematic review. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 63, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.01.003

Bedard-Gilligan, M., Garcia, N., Zoellner, L. A., & Feeny,

N. C. (2018). Alcohol, cannabis, and other drug use: Engagement and outcome in PTSD treatment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 32(3), 277-288.

Belmontes, K. C. (2018). When family gets in the way of recovery. *Family Journal,* 26(1), 99-104. doi:10.1177 /1066480717753013

Bernstein, S. L., & D'Onofrio, G. (2017). Screening, treatment initiation, and referral for substance use disorders. *Addiction Science and Clinical Practice,* 12(1 ). doi:10.1186/s13722-017-0083-z

Bertholet, N., Palfai, T., Gaume, J., Daeppen, J., & Saitz,

R. (2013). Do brief alcohol motivational interventions work like we think they do? *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research,* 38(3), 853-859. doi:10.1111/ acer.12274

Beutler, L. E., Harwood, T. M., Michelson, A., Song, A., & Holman, J. (2011). Resistance/reactance level. *Journal of Clinical Psychology,* 67(2), 133-142.

Black, J. J., & Chung, T. (2014). Mechanisms of change in adolescent substance use treatment: How does treatment work? Substance *Abuse,* 35(4), 344-351.

Blow, F. C., Walton, M. A., Bohnert, A. S., Ignacio, R. V.,

Chermack, S., Cunningham, R. **M.,** ... Barry, K. L. (2017). **A** randomized controlled trial of brief interventions to reduce drug use among adults in a low-income urban emergency department: The HealthiER You study.

*Addiction, 112(8),* 1395-1405. doi:10.1111/add.13773

Bohnert, A. S. B., Bonar, E. E., Cunningham, R., Greenwald,

M. K., Thomas, L., Chermack, S., ... Walton, M. (2016). A pilot randomized clinical trial of an intervention to reduce overdose risk behaviors among emergency department patients at risk for prescription drug overdose. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 163,* 40-47.

Borsari, B., Apodaca, T. R., Jackson, K. M., Fernandez, A., Mastroleo, N. R., Magill, **M.,** ... Carey, K. B. (2018). Trajectories of in-session change language in brief motivational interventions with mandated college

students. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,*

86(2), 158-168. doi:10.1037/ccp0000255

Boston Center for Treatment Development and Training. (2016). *Module 10: Sobriety sampling.* Retrieved from [www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/07/ty/bt-manual­](http://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/07/ty/bt-manual)

module10. pdf

Bourke, E., Magill, M., & Apodaca, T. R. (2016). The in-session and long-term role of a significant other

in motivational enhancement therapy for alcohol use disorders. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 64, 35-43. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.01.008

Bray, J. W., Aden, B., Eggman, A. A., Hellerstein, L.,

Wittenberg, E., Nosyk, B., ... Schackman, B. R. (2017). Quality of life as an outcome of opioid use disorder treatment: A systematic review. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 76, 88-93.

Breslin, F. C., Sobell, L. C., Sobell, M. B., & Agrawal, S. (2000). A comparison of a brief and long version of the Situational Confidence Questionnaire. *Behaviour Research and Therapy,* 38(12), 1211-1220.

Brorson, H. H., Arnevik, E. A., Rand-Hendriksen, K., & Duckert, F. (2013). Drop-out from addiction treatment: A systematic review of risk factors. *Clinical Psychology*

*Review,* 33(8), 1010-1024. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2013.07.007

Caperton, D. D., Atkins, D. C., & Imel, Z. E. (2018). Rating motivational interviewing fidelity from thin slices.

*Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 32(4), 434-441. doi:10.1037/adb0000359

Carey, K. B., Leontieva, L., Dimmock, J., Maisto, S. A., & Batki, S. L. (2007). Adapting motivational interventions for comorbid schizophrenia and alcohol use disorders. *Clinical Psychology,* 14(1), 39-57.

Carroll, K. M., Ball, S. A., Nich, C., Martino, S., Frankforter,

T. L., Farentinos, C., ... Woody, G. E. (2006). Motivational interviewing to improve treatment engagement and outcome in individuals seeking treatment for substance abuse: A multisite effectiveness study. Drug and *Alcohol Dependence, 81,* 301-312.

Caviness, C. **M.,** Hagerty, C. E., Anderson, B. J., Dios, M. A., Hayaki, J., Herman, D., & Stein, **M.** D. (2013). Self-efficacy and motivation to quit marijuana use among young women. *American Journal on Addictions,* 22(4), 373-380. doi:10.1111 /j.1521-0391.2013.12030

Chariyeva, Z., Golin, C. E., Earp, J. A., Maman, S., Suchindran, C., & Zimmer, C. (2013). The role of self-efficacy and motivation to explain the effect of

motivational interviewing time on changes in risky sexual behavior among people living with HIV: A mediation analysis. *AIDS* and *Behavior, 17(2),* 813-823. doi:10.1007 / s10461-011-0115-8

Coffin, P. 0., Santos, G.-M., Matheson, T., Behar, E., Rowe, C., Rubin, T., ... Vittinghoff, E. (2017). Behavioral intervention to reduce opioid overdose among high-risk persons with opioid use disorder: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *PLOS One, 12(10),* 1-15. Retrieved from [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29049282](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29049282)

Connors, G. J., DiClemente, C. C., Velasquez, **M. M.,** & Donovan, D. **M.** (2013). Substance abuse *treatment and the* stages *of change: Selecting and planning interventions.* New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Copeland, J., Gates, P., & Pokorski, I. (2017). A narrative review of psychological cannabis use treatments with and without pharmaceutical adjunct. Current *Pharmaceutical Design,* 22(42), 6397-6408. doi:10.2174/1381612822666

160831094811

Copeland, L., McNamara, R., Kelson, **M.,** & Simpson,

S. (2015). Mechanisms of change within motivational interviewing in relation to health behaviors outcomes: **A** systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling,* 98(4), 401-411. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2014.11.022

Cunningham, J. A., Sobel!, L. C., Gavin, D. R., Sobel!, **M.** B., & Breslin, F. C. (1997). Assessing motivation for change: Preliminary development and evaluation of a scale measuring the costs and benefits of changing alcohol

or drug use. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 11(2),*

107-114.

D'Amico, E. J., Houck, J.M., Hunter, S. B., Miles, J. **N.,** Osilla, K. C., & Ewing, B. A. (2015). Group motivational interviewing for adolescents: Change talk and alcohol and marijuana outcomes. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 83(1), 68-80. doi:10.1037/a0038155

Davis, J. P., Houck, J. **M.,** Rowell, L. N., Benson, J. G., & Smith, D. C. (2015). Brief motivational interviewing and normative feedback for adolescents: Change language and alcohol use outcomes. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 65,* 66-73.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Overview of self­ determination theory: An organismic dialectical perspective. In E. Deci & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook*

*of self-determination research* (pp. 3-38). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.

Dennis, M. L., & Scott, C. K. (2012). Four-year outcomes from the Early Re-Intervention (ERi) experiment using Recovery Management Checkups (RMCs). *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 121(1-2),* 10-17. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2011.07.026

Dennis, M. L., Scott, C. K., & Laudet, A. (2014). Beyond bricks and mortar: Recent research on substance use disorder recovery management. *Current Psychiatry Reports, 16(4).* doi:10.1007 /s11920-014-0442-3

de Roten, Y., Zimmermann, G., Ortega, D., & Despland, J.-N. (2013). Meta-analysis of the effects of Ml training on clinicians' behavior. *Journal of Substance Abuse*

*Treatment,* 45(2), 155-162. Retrieved from [www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm/) nih.gov/pubmed/23537923

DiClemente, C. C. (2018). *Addiction and change: How addictions develop* and *addicted people recover* (2nd ed.). Guilford, NY: Guilford Publications.

DiClemente, C. C., Carbonari, J. P., Montgomery, R. P. G., & Hughes, S. 0. (1994). The Alcohol Abstinence

Self-Efficacy Scale. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol,* 55(2), 141-148.

DiClemente, C. C., Como, C. **M.,** Graydon, **M. M.,** Wiprovnick, A. E., & Knoblach, D. J. (2017). Motivational interviewing, enhancement, and brief interventions over the last decade: **A** review of reviews of efficacy and effectiveness. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 31(8),* 862-887. doi:10.1037/adb0000318

Dillard, P. K., Zuniga, J. A., & Holstad, M. M. (2017). An integrative review of the efficacy of motivational interviewing in HIV management. *Patient Education and Counseling, 100(4),* 636-646. doi:10.1016/j. pec.2016.10.029

Drapkin, M. L., Wilbourne, P., Manuel, J. K., Baer, J., Karlin, B., & Raffa, S. (2016). National dissemination of motivation enhancement therapy in the Veterans Health Administration: Training program design and initial outcomes. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 65,* 83-87. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.02.002

Dufett, I., & Ward, C. I. (2015). Can a motivational­ interviewing-based outpatient substance abuse treatment achieve success? **A** theory-based evaluation. *African Journal of Drug and Alcohol Studies,* 14(1), 1-12.

Ekong, G., & Kavookjian, J. (2016). Motivational

interviewing and outcomes in adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling,* 99(6), 944-952. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2015.11.022

Elliott, J.C., & Carey, K. B. (2013). Pros and cons: Prospective predictors of marijuana use on a college campus. *Psychology of Addictive* Behaviors, 27(1), 230-235. doi:10.1037 /a0029835

Elliott, R., Bohart, A. C., Watson, J. C., & Murphy, D. (2018).

Therapist empathy and client outcome: An updated meta-analysis. *Psychotherapy,* 55(4), 399-410. Retrieved

from [www.researchgate.net/publication/324562138](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/324562138) Therapist Empathy\_and Client Outcome An Updated Meta-Analysis

Ewing, S. W., Wray, A. M., Mead, H. K., & Adams, S. K. (2012). Two approaches to tailoring treatment for cultural minority adolescents. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 43(2), 190-203. doi: 10 .1016/ j. jsat.2 011 .1 2.005

Feldstein Ewing, S. W., Walters, S., & Baer, J. C. (2013). Motivational interviewing groups for adolescents and emerging adults. In C. C. Wagner & K. S. Ingersoll (Eds.), *Motivational interviewing in* groups (pp. 387-406). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Fergie, L., Campbell, K. A., Coleman-Haynes, T., Ussher, M., Cooper, S., & Coleman, T. (2018). Identifying effective behavior change techniques for alcohol and illicit substance use during pregnancy: A systematic review.

*Annals of Behavioral Me dicine.* doi:10.1093/abm/kay085

Field, C. A., Adinoff, B., Harris, T. R., Ball, S. A., & Carroll, K.

M. (2009). Construct, concurrent and predictive validity

of the URICA: Data from two multi-site clinical trials. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence,* 101(1-2), 115-123.

Field, C., Walters, S., Marti, C. N. , Jun, J., Foreman, M., & Brown, C. (2014). A multisite randomized controlled trial of brief intervention to reduce drinking in the trauma care setting. *Annals of Surgery,* 259(5), 873-880. doi:10 . 1097 / sla.0000000000000339

Fiszdon, J. M., Ku rtz, **M. M. ,** Choi, J., Bell, **M.** D., & Martino,

S. (2015). Motivational interviewing to increase cognitive rehabilitation adherence in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin,* 42(2), 327-334.

Flannery, M. (2017). Self-determination theory: Intrinsic motivation and behavioral change. *Oncology Nursing Forum,* 44(2), 155-156. Retrieved from [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih/) gov/pubmed/28222078

Forman, D. P., & Moyers, T. B. (2019). With odds of a single session, motivational interviewing is a good bet. *Psychotherapy.* doi:10.1037/pst0000199

Foster, D., & Neighbors, C. (2013). A review of decisional balance research and directions for brief alcohol intervention among college students. *OA Alcohol,* 7(1). doi:10.13172/2053-0285-1-1-575

Foster, D. W., Neighbors, C., & Pai, A. (2015). Decisional balance: Alcohol decisional balance intervention for heavy drinking undergraduates. *Substance Use and Misuse,* 50(13), 1717-1727.

Frie d ric hs , **A.,** Sp ie s , **M.,** Harter, **M.,** & Buchholz, A. (2016). Patient preferences and shared decision making in the treatment of substance use disorders: **A** systematic review of the literature. *PLOS One, 11(1* ). d o i:10 . 1371/ journal.pone.0145817

Gates, P. J., Sabioni, P., Copeland, J., Foll, B. L., & Gow i ng ,

L. (2016). Psychosocial interventions for cannabis use disorder. *Cochrane* Database *of Systematic* Reviews. doi:10.1002/14651858.cd005336.pub4

Gaume, J., Magill, M., Mastroleo, N. R., Longabaugh, R., Bertholet, **N.,** Gmel, G., & Daeppen, J. (2016). Change talk during brief motivational intervention with young adult males: Strength matters. *Journal of*

*Substance Abuse Treatment,* 65, 58-65. doi:10.1016/j.

jsat.2016.01 .005

Gelberg, L., Andersen, R., Vahidi, M., Rico, M., Baumeister, S., & Leake, B. (2017). A multi-component brief intervention for risky drug use among Latino patients of a federally qualified health center in East Los Angeles:

A randomized controlled trial of the quit using drugs intervention trial (quit) brief intervention. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 171.* Retrieved from https:// eurekamag.com/research/064/949/064949750.php

Glozah, F. N., Adu, N. A., & Komesuor, J. (2015). Assessing alcohol abstinence self-efficacy in undergraduate students: Psychometric evaluation of the alcohol abstinence self-efficacy scale. *Health and Quality of Life* Outcomes, 13(1). d o i: 10.1186/s1 2955-015-0387-1

Gordon, T. (1970). *Parent effectiveness training: The nolose* program *for raising responsible children.* New York, NY: Wyden.

Granfield, R., & Cloud, W. (1999). *Coming clean: Overcoming addiction without treatment.* New York, NY: New York University Press .

Grant, S., Colaiaco, B., Motala, A., Shanman, R., Booth, M., Sorbero, M., & Hempel, S. (2017). Mindfulness­ based relapse prevention for substance use disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Addiction Medicine, 11(5),* 386-396. doi:10.1097/ adm.0000000000000338

Gueorguieva, R., Wu, R., O'Connor, P. G., Weisner, C.,

Fucito, L. M., Hoffmann, S., O'Malley, S. S. (2014).

Predictors of abstinence from heavy drinking during treatment in COMBINE and external validation in PREDICT. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research,* 38(10), 2647-2656.

Hall, K., Staiger, P. K., Simpson, A., Best, D., & Lubman, D. I. (2015). After 30 years of dissemination, have we achieved sustained practice change in motivational interviewing? *Addiction, 111(7),* 1144-1150. doi:10.1111/add.13014

Harley, D. A. (2017). Motivational interviewing and motivational enhancement therapy: Cultural implications in addiction intervention for African Americans. *SciFed Journal of Addiction and Therapy,* 7(1). doi:10.23959/ sfjat-1000005

Harrell, P. T., Trenz, R., Scherer, **M.,** Martins, S., & Latimer,

W. (2013). A latent class approach to treatment readiness corresponds to a transtheoretical ("Stages of Change") model. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 45(3), 249-256. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2013.04.004

Hausotter, W. (2006). *Motivational interviewing* assessment: Supervisory *tools* for *enhancing proficiency.* Salem, OR: Northwest Frontier Addiction Technology Transfer Center, Oregon Health and Science University. Retrieved from [www.mot ivat io na linte rvie wing .o rg/s ites/de](http://www.motivationalinterviewing.org/sites/de) fault/ files/

mia-step.pdf

Heather, **N.,** & Honekopp, J. (2008). **A** revised edition of the readiness to change questionnaire (treatment version).

*Addiction Research and Theory, 16(5),* 421-433.

Heather, **N.,** & McCambridge, J. (2013). Post-treatment stage of change predicts 12-month outcome of treatment for alcohol problems. *Alcohol and Alcoholism,* 48(3),

329-336. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agt006

Hennessy, E. A. (2017). Recovery capital: A systematic review of the literature. *Addiction Research and Theory, 25(5),* 349-360. doi:10.1080/16066359.2017.1297990

Hennessy, E. A., Tanner-Smith, E. E., & Steinka-Fry, K. T. (2015). Do brief alcohol interventions reduce tobacco use among adolescents and young adults? **A** systematic

review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine,*

38(6), 899-911.

Hester, R. K., Delaney, H. D., & Campbell, W. (2012). The college drinker's check-up: Outcomes of two randomized clinical trials of a computer-delivered intervention.

*Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 26(1), 1-12.

Hingson, R., & Compton, W. M. (2014). Screening and brief intervention and referral to treatment for drug use in primary care: Back to the drawing board. *JAMA, 312(5),* 488. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.7863

Holstad, M. M., Diiorio, C., Kelley, M. E., Resnicow, K., & Sharma, S. (2010). Group motivational interviewing to promote adherence to antiretroviral medications and risk reduction behaviors in HIV infected women. *AIDS and Behavior, 15(5),* 885-896. doi:10.1007 /s10461-010-9865-y

Houck, J. M., Hunter, S. B., Benson, J. G., Cochrum, L. L., Rowell, L. N., & Damico, E. J. (2015). Temporal variation in facilitator and client behavior during group motivational interviewing sessions. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 29(4), 941-949. doi:10.1037/ adb0000107

Houck, J. **M.,** Manuel, J. **K.,** & Moyers, T. B. (2018). Short- and long-term effects of within-session client speech on drinking Outcomes in the COMBINE study.

*Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs,* 79(2), 217-222. doi:10.15288/jsad.2018.79.217

Houck, J. M., & Moyers, T. B. (2015). Within-session communication patterns predict alcohol treatment outcomes. Drug *and Alcohol Dependence, 157,* 205-209. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.10.025

Humphreys, K., Blodgett, J. C., & Wagner, T. H. (2014). Estimating the efficacy of Alcoholics Anonymous without self-selection bias: An instrumental variables re-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research,* 38(11), 2688-2694. doi:10.1111/ acer.12557

Hunt, G. E., Siegfried, N., Morley, K., Sitharthan, T., & Cleary, M. (2013). Psychosocial interventions for people with both severe mental illness and substance misuse. *Cochrane Database of Systematic* Reviews, *10.* Art. No.: CD001088. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001088.pub3

Ingersoll, K. S., Ceperich, S. D., Hettema, J. E., Farrell­ Carnahan, L., & Penberthy, J. K. (2013). Preconceptional motivational interviewing interventions to reduce alcohol­ exposed pregnancy risk. *Journal of* Substance *Abuse* Treatment, 44(4), 407-416. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2012.10.001

Jackson, L. A., Buxton, J. A., Dingwell, J., Dykeman, M., Gahagan, J., Gallant, K., ... Davison, C. (2014). Improving psychosocial health and employment outcomes for individuals receiving methadone treatment: **A** realist synthesis of what makes interventions work. BMC *Psychology,* 2(1), 26. doi:10.1186/s40359-014-0026-3

Janis, L. L., & Mann, L. (1977). *Decision making:*

***A*** *psychological analysis of conflict, choice, and commitment.* London, England: Cassel and Collier Macmillan.

Jason, L.A., Salina, D., & Ram, D. (2016). Oxford recovery housing: Length of stay correlated with improved outcomes for women previously involved with the criminal justice system. *Substance Abuse,* 37(1), 248-254. doi:10.1 080/08897077.2015.1037946

Jiang, S., Wu, L., & Gao, X. (2017). Beyond face-to-face individual counseling: A systematic review on alternative modes of motivational interviewing in substance abuse treatment and prevention. *Addictive Behaviors,* 73,

216-235

Joseph, J., & Basu, D. (2016). Efficacy of brief interventions in reducing hazardous or harmful alcohol use in middle­ income countries: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Alcohol and Alcoholism,* 52(1 ), 56-64. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agw054

Kadden, R. M., & Litt, M. D. (2011 ). The role of self-efficacy in the treatment of substance use disorders. *Addictive Behaviors,* 36(12), 1120-1126.

Kahler, C. W., Pantalone, D. W., Mastroleo, N. R., Liu, T., Bove, G., Ramratnam, B., ... Mayer, K. J. (2018).

Motivational interviewing with personalized feedback to reduce alcohol use in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: **A** randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 86(8), 645-656.

Kanfer, F. H., & Schefft, B. K. (1988). *Guiding the process of therapeutic change.* Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Kelly, J. F., Bergman, B. G., Hoeppner, B., Vilsaint, C., & White, W. L. (2017). Prevalence and pathways of recovery from drug and alcohol problems in the United States population: Implications for practice, research, and policy. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 181,* 162-169.

Kiluk, B. D., Serafini, K., Malin-Mayor, B., Babuscio, T. A., Nich, C., & Carroll, K. M. (2015). Prompted to treatment by the criminal justice system: Relationships

with treatment retention and outcome among cocaine users. *American Journal* on *Addictions,* 24(3), 225-232. doi:10.1111 /ajad.12208

Klimas, J., Field, C., Cullen, W., O'Gorman, C., Glynn, L., Keenan, E., ... Dunne, C. (2014). Psychosocial interventions to reduce alcohol consumption in concurrent problem alcohol and illicit drug users:

Cochrane Review. *Drug* and *Alcohol Dependence, 140.*

doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.02.305

Kohler, S., & Hofmann, A. (2015). Can motivational interviewing in emergency care reduce alcohol consumption in young people? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alcohol* and *Alcoholism,* 50(2), 107-117. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agu098

Korcha, R. A., Polcin, D. L., Evans, K., Bond, J. C., & Galloway, G. P. (2015). Intensive motivational interviewing for women with alcohol problems. *Counselor (Deerfield Beach), 16(3),* 62-69.

Krawczyk, N., Feder, K. A., Saloner, B., Crum, R. M., Kealhofer, M., & Mojtabai, R. (2017). The association of psychiatric comorbidity with treatment completion among clients admitted to substance use treatment programs in a U.S. national sample. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 175,* 157-163. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.02.006

Krigel, S. W., Grobe, J. E., Goggin, K., Harris, K. J., Moreno,

J. L., & Catley, D. (2017). Motivational interviewing and the decisional balance procedure for cessation induction in smokers not intending to quit. *Addictive Behaviors,* 64, 171-178. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.08.036

Kuerbis, A., Armeli, S., Muench, F., & Morgenstern, J. (2013). Motivation and self-efficacy in the context of moderated drinking: Global self-report and ecological momentary assessment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 27(4), 934-943. doi:10.1037 /a0031194

Kuusisto, K., Knuuttila, V., & Saarnio, P. (2011). Clients' self­ efficacy and outcome expectations. *Addictive Disorders and Their Treatment, 10(4),* 157-168. doi:10.1097/ adt.0b013e31820dd4ec

Kwasnicka, D., Dombrowski, S. U., White, M., & Sniehotta,

F. (2016). Theoretical explanations for maintenance of behaviour change: **A** systematic review of behaviour theories. *Health Psychology* Review, *10(3),* 277-296. doi:1 0.1080/17437199.2016.1151372

Lee, W.W., Choi, K., Yum, R. W., Yu, D. S., & Chair, S. (2016). Effectiveness of motivational interviewing on lifestyle modification and health outcomes of clients at risk or diagnosed with cardiovascular diseases: **A** systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing*

*Studies, 53,* 331-341. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.09.010

Lenz, **A.** S., Rosenbaum, L., & Sheperis, D. (2016). Meta­ analysis of randomized controlled trials of motivational enhancement therapy for reducing substance use. *Journal of Addictions and Offender Counseling,* 37(2), 66-86. doi:10.1002/jaoc.12017

Lindqvist, H., Forsberg, L., Enebrink, P., Andersson, G., & Rosendahl, I. (2017). Relational skills and client language predict outcome in smoking cessation treatment.

*Substance Use and* Misuse, 52(1), 33-42. doi:10.1080/108 26084.2016.1212892

Litt, M. D., & Kadden, R. M. (2015). Willpower versus "skillpower": Examining how self-efficacy works in treatment for marijuana dependence. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 29(3), 532-540. doi:10.1037/ adb0000085

Litt, M. D., Kadden, R. **M.,** & Petry, **N. M.** (2013). Behavioral treatment for marijuana dependence: Randomized trial of contingency management and self-efficacy enhancement. *Addictive Behaviors,* 38(3), 1764-1775. doi:10.1016/j. addbeh.2012.08.011

Lord, S. P., Can, D., Yi, M., Marin, R., Dunn, C. W., Imel,

Z. E., ... Atkins, D. C. (2014). Advancing methods for reliably assessing motivational interviewing fidelity using the motivational interviewing skills code. *Journal of Substance Abuse* Treatment, *49,* 50-57.

Lozano, B. E., Larowe, S. D., Smith, J. P., Tuerk, P., & Roitzsch, J. (2013). Brief motivational feedback may enhance treatment entry in veterans with

comorbid substance use and psychiatric disorders. *American Journal on Addictions,* 22(2), 132-135. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00315.x

Lundahl, B., Moleni, T., Burke, B. L., Butters, R., Tollefson, D., Butler, C., & Rollnick, S. (2013). Motivational interviewing in medical care settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Patient Education* and *Counseling,* 93(2), 157-168. doi:10.1016/j.

pec.2013.07 .012

Magill, M., Apodaca, T. R., Borsari, B., Gaume, J., Hoadley, A., Gordon, R. E. F., ... Moyers, T. (2018). A meta-analysis of motivational interviewing process: Technical, relational, and conditional process models of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 86(2), 140-157.

Magill, M., Gaume, J., Apodaca, T. R., Walthers, J., Mastroleo, **N.** R., Borsari, B., & Longabaugh, R. (2014). The technical hypothesis of motivational interviewing: **A** meta-analysis of Mi's key causal model. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 82(6), 973-983.

Magill, M., Stout, R. L., & Apodaca, T. R. (2013). Therapist focus on ambivalence and commitment: A longitudinal analysis of motivational interviewing treatment ingredients. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 27(3), 754-762. doi:10.1037 /a0029639

Magill, M., Walthers, J., Mastroleo, N. R., Gaume, J., Longabaugh, R., & Apodaca, T. R. (2016). Therapist and client discussions of drinking and coping: A sequential analysis of therapy dialogues in three evidence-based alcohol use disorder treatments. *Addiction,* 111(6), 1011- 1020. doi:10.1111/add.13313

Mahmoodabad, S.S., Tonekaboni, N. R., Farmanbar, R., Fallahzadeh, H., & Kamalikhah, T. (2017). The effect of motivational interviewing-based intervention using self­ determination theory on promotion of physical activity among women in reproductive age: **A** randomized clinical trial. *Electronic Physician,* 9(5), 4461-4472. doi:10.19082/4461

Manuel, J. K., Satre, D. D., Tsoh, J., Moreno-John, G., Ramos, J. S., McCance-Katz, E. F., & Satterfield, J. M. (2015). Adapting screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment for alcohol and drugs to culturally diverse clinical populations. *Journal of Addiction Medicine, 1.* doi:10.1097 /adm.0000000000000150

Marlatt, G., A., Parks, G. A., & Witkiewitz, K. (2002). *Clinical guidelines for implementing relapse prevention therapy: A guideline developed for behavioral health recovery management project.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago. Retrieved from [www.researchgate.net/](http://www.researchgate.net/) publication/235326763 Clinical Guidelines for

lmplementing\_RelapsePrevention Therapy\_A Guideline Developed for the Behavioral Health Recovery\_ Management Project

Martin, G. W., & Rehm, J. (2012). The effectiveness of psychosocial modalities in the treatment of alcohol problems in adults: A review of the evidence.

*Canadian Journal of Psychiatry,* 57(6), 350-358. doi:10.1177 /070674371205700604

Martino, S., Ball, S. A., Gallon, S. L., Hall, D., Garcia, M., Ceperich, S., ... Hausotter, W. (2006). *Motivational interviewing assessment: Supervisory tools for enhancing proficiency.* Salem, OR: Northwest Frontier Addiction Technology Transfer Center, Oregon Health and Science University. Retrieved from www.motivationalinterviewing. org/sites/ de fault/ files/mia-step .pdf

Martino, S., Paris, M., Anez, L., Nich, C., Canning-Ball, M., Hunkele, K., ... Carroll, K. M. (2016). The effectiveness and cost of clinical supervision for motivational interviewing: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of* Substance Abuse *Treatment, 68,* 11-23.

Mattoo, S. K., Prasad, S., & Ghosh, A. (2018). Brief intervention in substance use disorders. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry,* 60(Suppl 4), S466-S472.

McCance-Katz, E. F., & Satterfield, J. (2012). SBIRT: A key to integrate prevention and treatment of substance abuse in primary care. *American Journal* on *Addictions, 21(2),* 176-177. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.2011.00213.x

McConnaughy, E. A., Prochaska, J. 0., & Velicer,

W. F.(1983). Stages of change in psychotherapy: Measurement and sample profiles. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 20,* 368-375.

McDevitt-Murphy, M. E., Murphy, J. G., Williams, J. L., Monahan, C. J., Bracken-Minor, K. L., & Fields, J. A. (2014). Randomized controlled trial of two brief alcohol interventions for OEF/OIF veterans. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 82(4), 562-568. doi:10.1037 / a0036714

McOueen, J., Howe, T. E., Allan, L., Mains, D., & Hardy,

V. (2011). Brief interventions for heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews,* (8).

McOueen, J. M., Howe, T. E., Ballinger, C., & Godwin, J. (2015). Effectiveness of alcohol brief intervention in a general hospital: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Studies* on *Alcohol* and *Drugs,* 76(6), 838-844. doi:10.15288/jsad.2015.76.838

Merchant, R. C., Romanoff, J., Zhang, Z., Liu, T., & Baird,

J. R. (2017). Impact of a brief intervention on reducing alcohol use and increasing alcohol treatment services utilization among alcohol- and drug-using adult emergency department patients. *Alcohol,* 65, 71-80. doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2017.07.003

Miller, M. B., Leffingwell, T., Claborn, K., Meier, E., Walters, S., & Neighbors, C. (2013). Personalized feedback interventions for college alcohol misuse: An update of Walters & Neighbors (2005). *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 27(4), 909-920.

Miller, W. R., Benefield, R. G., & Tonigan, J. S. (1993). Enhancing motivation for change in problem drinking: A controlled comparison of two therapist styles. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 61(3), 455-461.

Miller, W. R., & Brown, J. **M.** (1994). *What I want from treatment* (2.0). Retrieved from http s:// casaa.un m.edu/ inst/W hat%20I%20Want%20From%20Treatment.pdf

Miller, W.R., Forcehimes, A. A., & Zweben, A. (2011). *Treating addiction: A guide for professionals.* New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Miller, W. R., & Moyers, T. B. (2017). Motivational interviewing and the clinical science of Carl Rogers. *Journal of Consulting* and *Clinical Psychology,* 85(8), 757-766. doi:10.1037 /ccp0000179

Miller, W. R., & Moyers, T. B. (2015). The forest and the trees: Relational and specific factors in addiction treatment. *Addiction, 110(3),* 401-413.

Miller, W. R., M oyers, T. B., Ernst, D. B., & Amrhein, P. C. (2008). *Manual* for *the Motivational Interviewing Code* (version 2.1). Albuquerque, NM: Center on Alcoholism, Substance Abuse, and Addictions, University of New Mexico. Retrieved from https://casaa.unm.edu/download/ misc.pdf

Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2014). The effectiveness and ineffectiveness of complex behavioral intervention s: Impact of treatment fidelity. Contemporary *Clinical Trials,* 37(2), 234-241. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2014 .01.005

Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2013). *Motivational interviewing: Helping people change* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2010). *Looking* forward to *M l-3: A work in progress* [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from [http://motiv ationalinterviewing .org/sites/default/f iles/](http://motivationalinterviewing.org/sites/default/files/) Forum 2010 Plenary\_Bill.ppt

Miller, W. R., & Rollnic k, S. (2002). *Motivational interviewing: Preparing people* for *change* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Miller, W.R., & Rollnic k, S. (1991). *Motivational interviewing: Preparing people* to *change addictive behavior.* New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Miller, W. R., & Rose, G. S. (2013) . Motivational interviewing and decisional balance: Contrasting responses to client ambivalence. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy,* 43(02), 129-141. doi:10.1017/s1352465813000878

Miller, W. R., & Sanchez, V. C. (1994) . Motivating young adults for treatment and lifestyle change. In G. Howard & Nathan (Eds.), *Alcohol* use and *misuse by young adults* (pp. 55-82). Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.

Miller, W. R., & Tonigan, J . S. (1996). Assessing drinkers' motivation for change: The Stages of Change Readiness and Treatment Eagerness Scale (SOCRATES) . *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 10(2), 81-89.

Miller, W. R., Tonigan, J. S., & Longabaugh, R. (1995).

*The Drinker Inventory of Consequences (DrlnC): An instrument* for *assessing* adverse *consequences of alcohol abuse, Test manual* (vol. 4). Project MATCH Monograph Series. Rockville, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Retrieved from htt ps://pubs .niaaa.nih . gov/publications/projectmatch/match04.pdf

Miller, W. R., & Wilbourne, P. L. (2002). Mesa Grande: A methodological analysis of clinical trials of treatments for alcohol use disorders. *Addiction ,* 97(3), 265-277. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00019.x

Montgomery, L., Robinson, C., Seaman, E. L., & Haeny,

A. M. (2017). A scoping review and meta-analysis

of psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for cannabis and tobacco use among African Americans. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 31(8), 922- 943. [www.](http://www/)

ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29199844

Monti, P. M., Colby, S. M ., Mastroleo, N. R., Barnett,

N. P., Gwaltney, C. J., Apodaca, T. R., . .. Cioffi, W. G. (2014) . Individual versus significant-other-enhanced brief motivational intervention for alcohol in emergency care. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 82(6),

936-948. doi:10.1037 /a0037658

Moore , M., Flamez, B., & Szi rony, G. **M.** (2017). Motivational interviewing and dual diagnosis clients: Enhancing self­ efficacy and treatment completion. *Journal of* Substance *Use,* 23(3), 247- 253. doi:10.1080/14659891 .2017.13888

56

Moos, R. H. (2012). Iatrogenic effects of psychosocial interventions: Treatment, life context , and personal risk factors. Substance *Use and Misuse,* 47(13-14), 1592- 1598 . doi:10.3109/10826084 .2012.705710

Morgenstern, J., Kuerbis, A., Houser, J ., Muench, F. J.,

Sha o, S., & Treloar, H. (2016). Within-person associations between daily motivation and self-efficacy and drinking among problem drinkers in treatment . *Psychology*

*of Addictive Behaviors,* 30(6), 630- 638. doi:10.1037 / adb0000204

Mowbray,0 ., Quinn, A., & Cranford , J. A. (2014). Social networks and alcohol use disorders : Findings from a nationally representative sample. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse,* 40(3), 181-186. doi:10.3109/00 952990.2013.860984

Moyers, T. B. (2014). The relationship in motivational interviewing. *Psychotherapy, 51(3),* 358- 363.

Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net/)/ publication/264396087 The Relationship\_in Motivational Interviewing

Moyers, T. B., & Houck, J. (2011). Combining motivational interviewing with cognitive-behavioral treatments for substance abuse: Lessons from the COMBINE research project . *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice,* 78(1), 38- 45. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2009.09.005

Moyers, T. B., Houck, J., Glynn, L. H., Hallgren, K. A., & Manuel, J. K. (2017). **A** randomized controlled trial to influence client language in substance use disorder treatment . *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 172 ,* 43-50. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.11.036

Moyers, T. B., Houck, J., Rice, S. L., Longabaugh, R.,

& Miller, W. R. (2016) . Therapist empathy, combined behavioral intervention, and alcohol outcomes in the COMBINE research project. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psycho lo gy,* 84(3), 221-229. doi:10.1037 / ccp0000074

Moyers, T. B., Manuel, J. K., & Ernst, D. (2014) . *Motivational Interviewing Treatment Integrity Coding Manual*

*4.1.* Unpublished manual. Retrieved from http s:// motivationalinterviewing.org/sites/default/files/miti4 2. p\_.df

144 Appendix A

Moyers, T. B., Martin, T., & Christopher, P. (2005). *Motivational interviewing knowledge* test. Albuquerque, NM: Center on Alcoholism, Substance Abuse, and Addictions, University of New Mexico. Retrieved

from https://casaa.unm.edu/download/ELICIT/Ml%20 Knowledge%20Test.pdf

Moyers, T. B., & Miller, W. R. (2013). Is low therapist empathy toxic? *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 273, 878-884. doi:10.1037 /a0030274

Mumba, **M. N.,** Findlay, L. J., & Snow, D. E. (2018). Treatment options for opioid use disorders. Journal *of Addictions Nursing,* 29(3), 221-225.

Naar-King, S., Safren, S. A., & Miller, W. R. (2017). *Motivational interviewing* and *CBT: Combining strategies for maximum* effectiveness. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Navidian, A., Kermansaravi, F., Tabas, E. E., & Saeedinezhad,

F. (2016). Efficacy of group motivational interviewing in the degree of drug craving in the addicts under the

methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) in South East of Iran. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing,* 30(2), 144-149. doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2015.08.002

Norcross, J. C., Krebs, P. M., & Prochaska, J. 0. (2011). Stages of Change. *Journal of Clinical Psychology,* 67(2), 143-154. Retrieved from [www.researchgate.net/](http://www.researchgate.net/) publication/49683193 Stages of\_Change

Nov i ns , D. K., Croy, C. D., Moore, L. A., & Rieckmann, T. (2016). Use of evidence-based treatments in substance abuse treatment programs serving American Indian and Alaska Native communities. *Drug* and *Alcohol Dependence, 161,* 214-221.

Nunes, A. P., Richmond, M. K., Marzano, K., Swenson,

C. J., & Lockhart, J. (2017). Ten years of implementing screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment (SBIRT): Lessons learned. *Substance Abuse,* 38(4),

508-512. doi:10.1080/08897077.2017.1362369

Office of the Surgeon General. (2016). *Facing addiction in America : The Surgeon General's report on alcohol, drugs, and health.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Se rvices . Retrieved from htt ps://ad d ictio n. surgeongeneral.gov/sites/default/files/surgeon-generals­ report.pdf

Osilla, K. C., Ortiz, J. A., Miles, J. **N.,** Pedersen, E. R.,

Houck, J. **M.,** & Damico, E. J. (2015). How group factors affect adolescent change talk and substance use outcomes: Implications for motivational interviewing

training. *Journal of Counseling Psychology,* 62(1 ), 79-86.

doi:10.1037/cou0000049

Osterman, R., Le wis , D., & Winhusen, T. (2017). Efficacy of motivational enhancement therapy to decrease alcohol and illicit-drug use in pregnant substance users reporting baseline alcohol use. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 77, 150-155. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2017.02.003

Pace, B. T., Dembe, **A.,** Soma, C. S., Baldwin, S. A., Atkins,

D. C., & Imel, Z. E. (2017). A multivariate meta-analysis of motivational interviewing process and outcome. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,* 31(5), 524-533. doi:10.1037/adb0000280

Panebianco, D., Gallupe,0 ., Carrington, P. J ., & Colozzi, I. (2016). Personal support networks, social ca pita l, and risk of relapse among individuals treated for substance use issues. *International Journal of Drug Policy,* 27, 146-153. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2015.09.009

Polcin, D. L., Mulia, N., & Jones, L. (2012). Substance users' perspectives on helpful and unhelpful confrontation: Implications for recovery. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs,* 44(2), 144-152.

Prochaska, J. 0. (1979). Systems *of psychotherapy: A transtheoretical* analysis. Homewood, IL: Dorsey Press .

Prochaska, J. 0., & DiClemente, C. C. (1984) . *The transtheoretical approach:* Crossing *traditional boundaries of the rap y.* Malabar, FL: R. E. Krieger.

Prochaska, J., Norcross, J., & DiClemente, C. (2013). Applying the Stages of Change. *Psychotherapy in Australia, 19,* 10- 15 . doi:10.1093/med:psych/9780199845

491.003.0034

Prochas ka , J. 0., Velicer, W. F., Rossi , J. S., Goldstein, M. G., Marcus, B.H., Rakowski, W., ... Rossi, S. R. (1994). Stages of Change and decisional balance for 12 problem behaviors. *Health Psychology, 13,* 39-46.

Rapp, R. C., Van Den Noortgate, W., Broekaert, E.,

& Vanderplasschen, W. (2014). The efficacy of case management with persons who have substance abuse problems: **A** three-level meta-analysis of outcomes.

*Journal of Consulting* and *Clinical Psychology,* 82(4),

605-618. Retrieved from [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/)

NBK241643/

Raylu, **N.,** & Kaur, I. (2012). Factors that affect treatment expectations of outpatients with substance use problems. *International Journal of* Mental *Health and Addiction,* 10(6), 804-817. doi:10.1007/s11469-012-9377-2

Rhoades, H., Motte-Kerr, W. L., Duan, L., Woo, D., Rice, E., Henwood, B., ... Wenzel, S. L. (2018). Social networks and substance use after transitioning into permanent supportive housing. *Drug* and *Alcohol* Dependence, *191,* 63-69. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.06.027

Riper, H., Andersson, G., Hunter, S. B., Wit, J. D., Berking, M., & Cuijpers, P. (2014). Treatment of comorbid alcohol use disorders and depression with cognitive-behavioural therapy and motivational interviewing: A meta-analysis. *Addiction, 109(3),* 394-406. doi:10.1111/add.12441

Rodriguez, **M.,** Walters, S. T., Houck, J. **M.,** O rt iz, J. A., & Taxman, F. S. (2017). The language of change among criminal justice clients: Counselor lang uage , client language, and client substance use outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Psychology,* 74(4), 626-636. doi:10.1002/ jclp.22534

Rogers, C. R. (1980). *A* way *of being .* Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

Rogers, C. R. (1965). *Client-centered therapy.* New York, NY: Houghton Mifflin.

Rollnick, S., Heather, N., Gold, R., & Hall, W. (1992). Development of a short "readiness to change" questionnaire for use in brief, opportunistic interventions among excessive drinkers. *British Journal of Addiction,* 87, 743-754.

Rollnick, S., Miller, W. R., & Butler, C. C. (2008). *Motivational interviewing in health care: Helping patients change behavior.* New York, **NY:** Guilford.

Romano, **M.,** & Peters, L. (2016). Understanding the process of motivational interviewing: **A** review of the relational and technical hypotheses. *Psychotherapy Research,* 26(2), 220-240. doi:10.1080/10503307.2014.954154

Rosengren, D. B. (2018). *Building motivational interviewing skills: A practitioner workbook* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Running Bear, U. R., Beals, J., Novins, D. K., & Manson, S.

M. (2017). Alcohol detoxification completion, acceptance of referral to substance abuse treatment, and entry

into substance abuse treatment among Alaska Native people. *Addictive Behaviors,* 65, 25-32. doi:10.1016/j. addb eh.2016.09.009

Samson, J. E., & Tanner-Smith, E. E. (2015). Single-session alcohol interventions for heavy drinking college students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and* Drugs, 76(4), 530-543.

Sarpavaara, H. (2015). Significant others in substance abusers change talk during motivational interviewing sessions in the Finnish Probation Service. *Nordic* Studies *on Alcohol and* Drugs, 32(1), 91-103. doi:10.1515/

nsad-2015-0010

Satre, D. D., Delucchi, K., Lichtmacher, J., Sterling, S. A., & Weisner, C. (2013). Motivational interviewing to reduce hazardous drinking and drug use among depression patients. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 44(3), 323-329.

Satre, D. D., Leibowitz, A., Sterling, S. A., Lu, Y., Travis,

**A.,** & Weisner, C. (2016). **A** randomized clinical trial of motivational interviewing to reduce alcohol and drug use among patients with depression . *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 84(7), 571-579.

Satre, D. D., Manuel, J. K., Larios, S., Steiger, S., & Satterfield, J. (2015). Cultural adaptation of screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment using motivational interviewing. *Journal of Addiction Medicine,* 9(5), 352-357. doi:10.1097/adm.0000000000000149

Sayegh, C. S., Huey, S. J., Zara, E. J., & Jhaveri, K. (2017). Follow-up treatment effects of contingency management and motivational interviewing on substance use: A

meta-analysis. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 31(4),*

403-414. doi:10.1037 /adb0000277

Schonfeld, L., King-Kallimanis, B. L., Duchene, D. M., Etheridge, R. L., Herrera, J. R., Barry, K. L., & Lynn, N. (2010) . Screening and brief intervention for substance misuse among older adults: The Florida BRITE project. *American Journal of Public Health,* 100(1), 108- 114.

Schumacher, J. A., Williams, D. C., Burke, R. S., Epler, A. J., Simon, P., & Coffey, S. F. (2018). Competency-based supervision in motivational interviewing for advanced psychology trainees: Targeting an a priori benchmark. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology, 12(3),* 149-153. doi:10.1037/tep0000177

Schwalbe, C. S., Oh, H. Y., & Zweben, A. (2014). Sustaining motivational interviewing: A meta-analysis of training studies. *Addiction,* 109(8), 1287-1294. Retrieved

from https://onlinelibrary .wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111 / add.12558

Scott , C. K., Dennis, M. L., & Lurigio, A. J. (2017). The effects of specialized probation and recovery management checkups (RMCs) on treatment

participation, substance use, HIV risk behaviors, and recidivism among female offenders: Main findings of a 3-year experiment using subject by intervention

interaction analysis. *Journal of Experimental Criminology,*

13(1), 53-77.

Shepard, D. S., Lwin, A. K., Barnett, N. P., Mastroleo, N.,

Colby, S. M., Gwaltney, C., & Monti, P. M. (2016). Cost­ effectiveness of motivational intervention with significant others for patients with alcohol misuse. *Addiction, 111(5),* 832-839. doi:10.1111/add.13233

Skinner, H. A. (1982). The Drug Abuse Screening Test.

*Addictive Behavior,* 7(4), 363-371.

Smedslund, G., Berg, R. C., Hammerstrom, K. T., St eiro,

A., Leiknes, K. A., Dahl, H. M., & Karlsen, K. (2011). Motivational interviewing for substance abuse. *Cochrane* Database *of Systematic Reviews,* 5, CD008063

Smeerdijk, M., Keet, R., Raaij, B. V., Koeter, M., Linszen, D., Haan, L. D., & Schippers, G. (2015). Motivational interviewing and interaction skills training for parents of young adults with recent-onset schizophrenia

and co-occurring cannabis use: 15-month follow-up. *Psychological Medicine,* 45(13), 2839-2848. doi:10.1017/ s0033291715000793

Soderlund, P. D. (2017). Effectiveness of motivational interviewing for improving physical activity self-management for adults with type 2 diabetes: **A** review. *Chronic Illness, 14(1),* 54-68. doi:10.1177/1742395317699449

Steinberg, M. L., Williams, J. M., Stahl, N. F., Budsock,

P. D., & Cooperman, N. A. (2015). An adaptation of motivational interviewing increases quit attempts in smokers with serious mental illness. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research,* 18(3), 243-250. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntv043

Stinson, J. D., & Clark, M. D. (2017). *Motivational interviewing with offenders: Engagement, rehabilitation, and* reentry. New York, **NY:** Guilford Press.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (2019). *Mental health and substance* use *disorders.* Retrieved from [www.samhsa.gov/find-help/](http://www.samhsa.gov/find-help/) disorders

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (2018). *Key* substance use and mental *health indicators in the United States: Results* from *the 2017 National* Survey on *Drug Use and Healt h.* HHS Publication No. (SMA) 18-5068 , NSDUH Series H-53. Rockville, MD : Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. Retrieved from [www.samhsa.gov/data/](http://www.samhsa.gov/data/)

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2016). *Criteria for the demonstration program* to improve *community mental health centers and* to *establish certified community behavioral health clinics .* Retrieved from Retrieved from [www.samhsa.gov/](http://www.samhsa.gov/) sites/default/files/programs campa igns/ccbhc-cr ite ria.pdf

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (2015a). *Substance abuse treatment and family therapy.* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 39. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 15-4219. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Ad mi nist rat io n. Retrieved from https://store.samhsa.gov/product/TIP-39-

Su bstance-Abuse-Treatment-and-Family-Therapy/ SMA15-4219

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (2015b). *Using technology-based therapeutic tools in behavioral health* se rvices.

Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 60. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 15-4924. Rock ville , MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. Retrieved from https://store.samhsa. gov/product/TlP-60-Using-Tech nology-Based­ Therapeutic-Tools-in-Behavioral-Health-Services/ SMA15-4924

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (2014a). *Improving cultural com peten ce.* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 59. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4849 . Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Ad mi nist rat io n.

Retrieved from https://store.samhsa.gov/product/ TIP-59-lmproving-Cultural-Competence/SMA15-4849

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014b). *Trauma-informed* care in *behavioral health services.* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 60. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4816. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Retrieved from htt ps://sto re.s amhsa .gov/ pro duct /TIP-57-

Trauma-lnformed-Care-in-Behavioral-Health-Services/ SMA14-4816

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2013). *Substance abuse treatment for persons with co-occurring disorders .* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 42. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-3992. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. Retrieved from https://store. samhsa.gov/product/TIP-42-Substance-Abuse­ Treatment-for-Persons-With-Co-Occurring-Disorders/ SMA13-3992

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Ad mi nist rat io n. (20 09). *Clinical supervision and professional development of the substance abuse counselor.* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 52. HHS Publication (SMA) 14-4435. Rockville, **MD:** Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Administration. Retrieved from https://store.samhsa.gov/

product/TIP-52-Clinical-Supervision-and-Professional­

Development-of-the-Substance-Abuse-Counselor/ SMA14-4435.html

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (planned). *Treating addiction in older adults .* Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Ad mi nist rat io n.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Ad mi nist rat io n, Center for the Application of

Prevention Technologies. (2017). Words *matter : How language choice* can reduce *stigma.* Retrieved from

https://facesa ndvoicesofrecovery.org/wp-content/

uploads/2019/06/Words-Matter-How-Language-Choice­ Can-Reduce-Stigma.pdf

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration & National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alco ho lis m. (20 15). *Medication for the treatment of alcohol* use *disorder: A brief guide.* HHS Publication No. (SMA) 15-4907. Retrieved from Rock ville , MD . Retrieved from htt ps://sto re .s a mhsa.gov/ p rod uct/ Med ic at io n-fo r­ the-Treatment-of-Alcohol-Use-Disorder-A-Brief-Guide/ SMA15-4907

Tale ff, M.J. (1997). *A handbook* to assess *and* treat *resistance in chemical dependency.* Dubuque, IA: Ke nda ll/ Hunt .

Tanner-Smith, E. E., & Lipse y, M. W. (2015). Brief alcohol interventions for adolescents and young adults:

A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Substance Abuse* Treatment, *51,* 1-18.

Teeson, M., Marel, C., Darke , S., Ross, J., Slade, T.,

Bu rns , L., ... Mills , K. L. (2015). Long-term mo rt alit y, remission, criminality and psychiatric comorbidity of heroin dependence: 11-year findings from the Australian Treatment Outcome Study. *Addiction,* 110(6), 986- 983 .

Timko, C., Kong, C., Vittorio, L., & Cucciare, M. A. (2016). Screening and brief intervention for unhealthy substance use in patients with chronic medical conditions: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing,* 25(21-22), 3131-3143. doi:10.1111/jocn.13244

Truax, C. B., & Carkhuff, R.R. (1967). *Toward effective counseling and psychotherapy.* Chicago, IL: Aldine.

Turner, B., & Deane, F. P. (2016). Length of stay as a predictor of reliable change in psychological recovery and well-being following residential substance abuse treatment. *Therapeutic Communities,* 37(3), 112-120. doi:10.1108/tc-09-2015-0022

Van Horn, D. H., Drapkin, M., Lynch, K. G., Rennert, L.,

Goodman, J. D., Thomas, T., ... McKay, J. R. (2015). Treatment choices and subsequent attendance by substance-dependent patients who disengage from intensive outpatient treatment. *Addiction Research and Theory,* 23(5), 391-403.

Vella-Zarb, R. A., Mills, J. S., Westra, H. A., Carter, J. C., & Keating, L. (2014). A randomized controlled trial of motivational interviewing self-help versus

psychoeducation self-help for binge eating. *International Journal of Eating Disorders,* 48(3), 328-332. doi:10.1002/ eat.22242

Venner, K. L., Feldstein, S. W., & Tafoya, N. (2006).

*Native American motivational interviewing: Weaving Native American and Western* practices. Retrieved from [www.inte g rat io n.s a mhsa .g ov/ clinica](http://www.integration.samhsa.gov/clinica) l-pract ice /

Native American Ml Manual.pdf

Venner, K. L., Sanchez, V., Garcia, J., Williams, R. L., & Sussman, A. L. (2018). Moving away from the tip of the pyramid: Screening and brief intervention for risky alcohol and opioid use in underserved patients. *Journal of the American* Board *of Family Medicine,* 31(2), 243-251.

Wagner, A. J., Garbers, R., Lang, A., Borgert, A. J., &

Fisher, M. (2016). Increasing follow-up outcomes of

at-risk alcohol patients using motivational interviewing. *Journal of* Trauma *Nursing, 23(3),* 165-168. doi:10.1097/ jtn.0000000000000200

Wagner, C. C., & Ingersoll, K. S. (2017). Development and initial validation of the Assessment of Motivational Interviewing Groups-Observer Scales (AMIGOS).

*International Journal of Group Psychotherapy,* 68(1 ),

69-79. doi:10.1080/00207284.2017.1315587

Wagner, C. C., & Ingersoll, K. S. (2013). *Motivational interviewing in* groups. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Walker, D. D., Walton, T. 0., Neighbors, C., Kaysen, D., Mbilinyi, L., Darnell, J., ... Roffman, R. A. (2017). Randomized trial of motivational interviewing plus feedback for soldiers with untreated alcohol abuse. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 85(2), 99-110. doi:10.1037/ccp0000148

White, W. L. (2014). Slaying the dragon: *The history of* addiction *treatment and recovery in America* (2nd ed.). Bloomington, IL: Chestnut Health Systems/Lighthouse Institute.

White, W., & Miller, W. (2007). The use of confrontation in addiction treatment: History, science and time for change. *Counselor,* 8(4), 12-30.

Wild, T. C., Yuan, Y., Rush, B. R., & Urbanoski, K. A. (2016). Client engagement in legally mandated addiction treatment: A prospective study using self-determination theory. Journal *of Substance Abuse Treatment,* 69, 35-43. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.06.006

Witkiewitz, K., & Marlatt, G. A. (Eds.). (2007). *Therapist's guide* to *evidence-based relapse prevention.* Boston, MA: Elsevier Academic Press.

Wong-Anuchit, C., Chantamit-O-Pas, C., Schneider, J. K., & Mills, A. C. (2018). Motivational interviewing-based compliance/adherence therapy interventions to improve psychiatric symptoms of people with severe mental illness: Meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association,* 107839031876179. doi:10.1177/1078390318761790

Woolard, R., Baird, J., Longabaugh, R., Nirenberg, T., Lee, C. S., Mello, M. J., & Becker, B. (2013). Project

Reduce: Reducing alcohol and marijuana misuse, Effects of a brief intervention in the emergency department.

*Addictive Behaviors,* 38(3), 1732-1739. doi:10.1016/j. addbeh.2012.09.006

Yeh, M., Tung, T., Horng, F., & Sung, S. (2017). Effectiveness of a psychoeducational programme in enhancing motivation to change alcohol-addictive behaviour. *Journal of Clinical Nursing,* 26(21-22), 3724-3733. doi:10.1111/ jocn.13744

Yudko, E., Lozhkina, 0., & Fouts, **A.** (2007). **A** comprehensive review of the psychometric properties of the Drug Abuse Screening Test. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 32,* 189-198.